

Alprazolam and Standard Antidepressants in the Treatment of Depression: A Meta-analysis of the Antidepressant Effect

MANIT SRISURAPANONT, M.D.*,
VUDHICHAI BOONYANARUTHEE, M.D.*

Abstract

Alprazolam differs from other benzodiazepines by the incorporation of a triazolo ring in the basic chemical structure. Several lines of evidence have supported that alprazolam and standard antidepressants have some similar actions, such as beta-adrenergic receptor down-regulation, antipanic effect. Because of the difference in opinions pertaining to the antidepressant effect of alprazolam, this issue could not reach a firm conclusion. In the present analysis, we carried out a meta-analysis of 11 random controlled studies that compared the antidepressant effect of alprazolam and standard antidepressants in depressed patients. The results showed that the weighted mean effect size d (d_w) is equal to 0.06. In conclusion, the antidepressant effect of alprazolam is comparable to that of low-dose tricyclic antidepressants. Very few studies have investigated severely depressed patients. Also, in long-term administration, the lack of a long-term treatment study makes the issue of alprazolam's benefits and disadvantages still undetermined.

Alprazolam differs from other benzodiazepines by the incorporation of a triazolo ring in the basic chemical structure. Several lines of evidence have supported that alprazolam and standard antidepressants have some similar actions. Both of them are able to down-regulate postsynaptic beta-adrenergic receptors^(1,2) and are effective for the treatment of panic disorder^(3,4).

Because of the troubling adverse effects and slow onset of action of standard antidepressants, alternatives have been extensively investigated. Since the result of an open study showed

that alprazolam appeared to have an antidepressant effect⁽⁵⁾, numerous studies have been carried out for investigating the efficacy of alprazolam in the treatment of depression. So far, the antidepressant effect of alprazolam has not reached a firm conclusion. On one hand, alprazolam is "effective (for outpatients)"⁽⁶⁾, "at least comparable in efficacy to other medications for the treatment of depression-related disorders"⁽⁷⁾, or "considered equal to that of tricyclic antidepressant in depressed outpatients without retardation and/or suicidal ideation"⁽⁸⁾. On the other hand, others viewed that "alprazolam's

* Department of Psychiatry, Faculty of Medicine, Chiang Mai University, Chiang Mai 50200, Thailand.

therapeutic value appears to be less than that of the tricyclics...these issues remain to be resolved by further laboratory studies and clinical trials"(9). In clinical practice, alprazolam is not on the list of drugs used for the treatment of major depressive disorder(10).

Meta-analysis is a method that has been used to aggregate information systematically(11). To find out the conclusion of different opinions, we therefore carried out a meta-analysis of the existing literature of random controlled trials in which alprazolam was compared with standard antidepressants.

Method

With the purpose of answering the question of "Is the antidepressant effect of alprazolam

comparable to that of standard antidepressants?", criteria for inclusion the study are as follows:

1. Depression (without anxiety disorders) as defined in studies.

2. Investigating both alprazolam and standard antidepressants.

3. Random controlled trials.

4. Double-blind studies.

5. Measuring the severity of depression with a standard scale.

6. Presenting the means of the endpoint scores.

By Medline Computerized Literature Search (up to June, 1995), to find the original articles, we used the following headings and terms: depressive disorder-drug therapy; alprazolam, antidepressants, and randomized-controlled-trial. Eight

Table 1. Random controlled trials of alprazolam and tricyclic antidepressants in the treatment of depression.

Authors	Characteristics of patients.	No. of wks	Mean dose (mg/d)		No. of patients (endpoint)		$X_1 - X_2$ (SD_p)	No. of dropouts		θ
			ALP	TCAs	ALP	TCAs		ALP	TCAs	
Draper & Daly 1983	HRSD \geq 17 OP	6	2.15	85.0	10	5	3.50 (5.75)	5	5	-0.17
Ansseau et al 1984	HRSD \geq 18 OP	6	2.70	137.5	52	63	0 (NA)	7	4	0.06
Remick et al 1985	HRSD \geq 21 OP	6	3.47	201.4	16	18	-4.20 (NA)			
Remick et al 1985	HRSD \geq 21 IP	6	4.15	225.0	13	7	3.00 (NA)	6	6	-0.02
Rickels et al 1985	HRSD \geq 18 OP	6	3.00	148.0	104	90	-1.18 (NA)	24	34	-0.08
Rush et al 1985	HRSD \geq 18 OP=32 IP=17	6	4.40	190.0	13	16	5.80 (7.22)	12	8	0.15
Eriksson et al 1987	HRSD \geq 18 OP	6	3.05	130.0	30	31	-3.0 (NA)	11	5	0.13
Fawcett et al 1987	HRSD \geq 20 OP	6	4.60	229.8	18	20	0.6 (7.96)	9	8	0.04
Rickels et al 1987	HRSD \geq 18 OP	6	3.10	143.0	39	37	1.3 (NA)	19	26	-0.08
Banerji et al 1989	RDS \geq 6 OP	4	1.80	63.8	36	38	3.3 (NA)	15	15	0.01
Weissman et al 1992	RDS \geq 7 OP	6	2.20	97.5	7	7	1.9 (NA)	3	6	-0.16
Lapierre et al 1994	HRSD \geq 18 OP	6	3.20	115.0	20	18	6.47 (7.75)	3	2	0.03

ALP = alprazolam; TCAs = tricyclic antidepressants.

X_1 = mean of HRSD endpoint score for alprazolam-treated group; X_2 = mean of HRSD endpoint score for antidepressant-treated group;

SD_p = pooled standard deviation.

θ = rate difference of dropouts.

OP = outpatients; IP = inpatients.

studies which met the first three criteria were found. Of these, 4 studies met all 6 criteria(12-15). With cross-reference manual search, we found the other seven studies(16-22). Details of these 11 studies are presented in Table 1 (see Table 1). Because either 17- or 21- item of Hamilton Rating Scale for Depression (HRSD) was used in all studies, HRSD scores of each study were examined.

The effect of treatment in each report was computed using the effect size (d) according to Equation 1(23).

$$d = X_1 - X_2 / SD_p \quad \text{Eq. 1}$$

Where X_1 and X_2 are the means of HRSD endpoint scores for alprazolam- and antidepressant-treated groups, respectively. SD_p is the pooled standard deviation of HRSD endpoint scores. In cases where the means of HRSD endpoint scores were not available(13,17), the subtracting of the mean change scores from the initial scores will be used as endpoint scores. Because the standard deviations of HRSD endpoint scores were available in 4 studies(15,16,19,21), the average of the standard deviations of alprazolam-treated groups and antidepressant-treated groups of these 4 studies were applied to the other 7 studies(12-14,17,18,20,22). For interpretation, the higher the effect size (d), the more favor the antidepressant effect of standard antidepressants. It should be noted that, in a study that those alprazolam, amitriptyline, and doxapine were investigated(18), only the comparison of alprazolam- and amitriptyline-treated groups were analysed.

Because correlation coefficient (r) is the effect size estimate of choice, the effect size (d) in each study was converted to correlation coefficient (r) by using Equation 2(23).

$$r = d / \sqrt{d^2 + 4} \quad \text{Eq. 2}$$

The standard normal deviation Z used for combining the studies can be yielded from each correlation coefficient (r) by using Equation 3(23).

$$Z = 1/2 \log_e [(1+r)(1-r)] \quad \text{Eq. 3}$$

The weight (by df) mean Z (Z_w) could then be computed by using Equation 4(23).

$$Z_w = \sum df_j Z_j / \sum df_j \quad \text{Eq. 4}$$

The homogeneity of Zs was tested by the using of Equation 5(23).

$$Q = \sum (Z - Z_w)^2 \quad \text{Eq. 5}$$

Where Q lesser than χ^2 value with degree of freedom (df) = K-1 is considered as no significant heterogeneity of Zs(24). In this case, K is the number of Zs from independent studies.

The rate difference (θ) of dropouts in each study can be computed by the using of Equation 6(25).

$$\theta = p_1 - p_2 \quad \text{Eq. 6}$$

Where $p_1 = d_1/n_1$ and $p_2 = d_2/n_2$. d_1 and d_2 are the numbers of dropouts in alprazolam- and standard antidepressant-treated groups, respectively, and the corresponding sample sizes are n_1 and n_2 .

The weighted mean θ (θ_w) can be yielded by using Equation 7(25).

$$\theta_w = \sum \omega \theta / \sum \omega \quad \text{Eq. 7}$$

Where $\omega = S^{-1}$ and $S = [p_1(1-p_1)/n_1] + [p_2(1-p_2)/n_2]$.

The homogeneity of θ s was tested by the using of Equation 8(25).

$$Q = \sum \omega (\theta - \theta_w)^2 \quad \text{Eq. 8}$$

Where Q lesser than χ^2 value with degree of freedom (df) = K-1 is considered as no significant heterogeneity of θ s(24). In this case, K is the number of θ s from independent studies.

The 95 per cent confidential interval (CI) of the weighted mean Z (Z_w) and weighted mean θ (θ_w) were computed. The weighted mean r (r_w) and weighted mean d (d_w) were derived from the weighted mean Z (Z_w), respectively.

RESULTS

From 11 random controlled trials, the antidepressant effects of alprazolam and standard antidepressants were examined in 472 and 469 depressed patients, respectively. Because the standard antidepressants in all studies were tricyclic antidepressants (TCAs) which used in the same dose (100-300 mg/d)(10), mean dosage of TCAs in all 11 studies could be able to be computed

Table 2. Summary of the effect size (d), correlation coefficient (r), and standard normal deviation (Z) in each study.

Authors	N	df	d	r	Z
Draper & Dely 1983	15	13	0.61	0.29	0.30
Anssea et al 1984	115	113	0	0	0
Remick et al 1985 (OP) ^a	34	32	-0.58	-0.28	-0.29
Remick et al 1985 (IP) ^b	20	18	0.42	0.21	0.21
Rickels et al 1985	194	192	-0.16	-0.08	-0.08
Rush et al 1985	29	27	0.80	0.37	0.39
Eriksson et al 1987	61	59	-0.41	-0.20	-0.20
Fawcett et al 1987	38	36	0.08	0.04	0.04
Rickel et al 1987	76	74	0.18	0.09	0.09
Banerji et al 1989	74	72	0.45	0.22	0.22
Weissman et al 1992	14	12	0.26	0.13	0.13
Lapierre et al 1994	38	36	0.83	0.38	0.40

^a OP = outpatient study; ^b IP = inpatient study

together. The mean TCA dosage was 147.17 mg/d, while that for alprazolam was 3.15 mg/d. The effect size (d), correlation coefficient (r), and standard normal deviation (Z) of each study are presented in Table 2 (see Table 2). The weighted mean Z (Z_w) with S.D. was 0.03 ± 0.22 . The 95 per cent CI of the weighted mean Z (Z_w) was between -0.45 and 0.51. From the Equation 5, Zs of all studies were not found to have significant heterogeneity ($Q = 0.586$, lesser than 19.68 of χ^2 with 11 df at $p < 0.05$). The weighted mean r (r_w) and the weighted mean d (d_w) were 0.03 and 0.06, respectively.

Rate differences (θ) of dropouts were also examined. The weighted mean θ (θ_w) with S.D. was 0.003 ± 0.338 . The 95 per cent CI of the weighted mean θ (θ_w) was between -0.224 to 0.230. From the Equation 8, θ s of all studies were not found to have significant heterogeneity ($Q = 8.983$, lesser than 18.31 of χ^2 with 10 df at $p < 0.05$).

DISCUSSION

Since the weighted mean d (d_w) of 0.06 is smaller than the small effect size described by Cohen⁽²⁶⁾, it could be interpreted that the antidepressant effect of alprazolam is comparable to that of standard antidepressants. In an endpoint analysis like this, the rate differences (θ) of dropouts have to be considered simultaneously with the comparison of the effectiveness to rule out the effect of dropouts on the results. With the weighted

mean θ (θ_w) (95% CI) of 0.003 (-0.224 to 0.230), the rate differences of dropouts in both treated groups were not significant difference.

Since there are several limitations of included studies, the equally therapeutic effect in the present analysis should be viewed with caution. First, the results can be applied to only mildly to moderately depressed outpatients because alprazolam was studied in very few severely depressed inpatients. Second, with the mean dosage of tricyclic antidepressants of 147.17 mg/d, more explicitly, the antidepressant effect of alprazolam is comparable to that of low dose tricyclic antidepressants. In the treatment of depression, a low dosage of tricyclic antidepressants is significantly less effective than high dosage regimen^(27,28). We did not exclude a study of tricyclic antidepressant used in low dose (<100 mg/d) because it was investigated in elderly patients⁽¹⁴⁾ in which that dosage is acceptable in such patients. Third, although the results of long-term study is desperately needed for the treatment planning of depression, the duration of all alprazolam studies were not more than 6 weeks. Not only the lack of long-term benefit evidence but also the risk of benzodiazepine abuse and dependence should be considered in the long-term treatment.

It should be mentioned that some studies with a large number of subjects were excluded from this present meta-analysis. Some of them did not meet the inclusion criteria because of no presented means of endpoint scores in figure^(29,30).

Also, we excluded a nonrandom controlled trial⁽³¹⁾.

While other benzodiazepines are "not effective in combating symptoms of endogenous depression"⁽³²⁾ or "certainly inferior to the tricyclic antidepressants"⁽³³⁾, the antidepressant effect of alprazolam should be viewed as somewhat successful. In the future, the knowledge gained from this sort of study may bring us an alternative approach in the treatment of depression.

SUMMARY

The results of the present meta-analysis showed that the antidepressant effect of alprazolam is comparable to that of low dose tricyclic antidepressants. Alprazolam was studied in very few severely depressed inpatients. The benefits and disadvantages of long-term treatment of alprazolam also have not been extensively investigated. To be used as an antidepressant, the aforementioned limitations of alprazolam should be taken into account.

(Received for publication on February 28, 1996)

REFERENCES

1. Sethy VH, Hodges DH. Role of beta-adrenergic receptors in the antidepressant activity of alprazolam. *Res Commun Chem Pathol Pharmacol* 1982; 36: 329-32.
2. Sethy BH, Hodges DH. Alprazolam in a biochemical model of depression. *Biochem Pharmacol* 1982; 31: 3155-7.
3. Andersch S, Rosenberg NK, Kullingsjo H, et al. Efficacy and safety of alprazolam, imipramine and placebo in treating panic disorder: a Scandinavian multicenter study. *Acta Psychiatr Scand Suppl* 1991; 365: 18-27.
4. Cross-National Collaborative Panic Study, Second Phase Investigators. Drug treatment of panic disorder: comparative efficacy of alprazolam, imipramine, and placebo. *Br J Psychiatry* 1992; 160: 191-202.
5. Fabre LF. Pilot open label study with alprazolam (U-31,889) in outpatients with neurotic depression. *Current Therapeutic Research* 1976; 19: 661-8.
6. Warner MD, Peabody CA, Whiteford HA, Hollister LE. Alprazolam as an antidepressant. *J Clin Psychiatry* 1988; 49: 148-50.
7. Jonas JM, Cohen MS. A comparison of the safety and efficacy of alprazolam versus other agents in the treatment of anxiety, panic, and depression: a review of the literature. *J Clin Psychiatry* 1993; 54 (10 Suppl.): 25-45.
8. Boulenger J-P, Lavallee Y-J. Mixed anxiety and depression: diagnostic issues. *J Clin Psychiatry* 1993; 54 (1 Suppl.): 3-8.
9. Klerman GL. Mood disorders. In: A Task Force Report of the American Psychiatric Association ed. *Treatment of psychiatric disorders*. Washington, DC: American Psychiatric Press, 1989: 1725-2003.
10. American Psychiatric Association. Practice guideline for major depressive disorder in adults. *Am J Psychiatry* 1993; 150 (4 Suppl.): 1-26.
11. Thacker SB. Meta-analysis: a quantitative approach to research investigation. *JAMA* 1988; 259: 1685-9.
12. Eriksson B, Nagy A, Starmark J-E, Thelander U. Alprazolam compared to amitriptyline in the treatment of major depression. *Acta Psychiatr Scand* 1987; 75: 656-63.
13. Banerji JR, Brantingham P, McEwan GD, et al. A comparison of alprazolam with amitriptyline in the treatment of patients with neurotic or reactive depression. *Ir J Med Sci* 1989; 158: 110-3.
14. Weissman MM, Prusoff B, Sholomskas AJ, Greenwald S. A double-blind clinical trial of alprazolam, imipramine, or placebo in the depressed elderly. *J Clin Psychopharmacol* 1992; 12: 175-82.
15. Lapierre YD, Browne M, Oyewumi K, Sarantidis D. Alprazolam and amitriptyline in the treatment of moderate depression. *Int Clin Psychopharmacol* 1994; 9: 41-5.
16. Draper RJ, Daly I. Alprazolam and amitriptyline: a double blind comparison of anxiolytic and antidepressant activity. *Ir Med J* 1983; 76: 453-6.
17. Ansseau M, Ansoms C, Beckers G, et al. Double-blind clinical study comparing alprazolam and doxepin in primary unipolar depression. *J Affect Disord* 1984; 7: 287-96.
18. Rickels K, Feighner JP, Smith WT. Alprazolam, amitriptyline, doxepin, and placebo in the treatment of depression. *Arch Gen Psychiatry* 1985; 42: 134-41.
19. Rush AJ, Erman MK, Schlesser MA, et al. Alprazolam vs amitriptyline in depressions with reduced REM latencies. *Arch Gen Psychiatry*

1985; 42: 1154-9.

20. Remick RA, Fleming JAE, Buchanan RA, et al. A comparison of the safety and efficacy of alprazolam and desipramine in moderately severe depression. *Can J Psychiatry* 1985; 30: 597-601.

21. Fawcett J, Edwards JH, Kravitz HM, Jeffriess H. Alprazolam: an antidepressant? alprazolam, desipramine, and an alprazolam-desipramine combination in the treatment of adult depressed outpatients. *J Clin Psychopharmacol* 1987; 7: 295-310.

22. Rickels K, Chung HR, Csanalosi IB, et al. Alprazolam, diazepam, imipramine, and placebo in outpatients with major depression. *Arch Gen Psychiatry* 1987; 44: 862-6.

23. Rosenthal R. Meta-analysis: a review. *Psychosom Med* 1991; 53: 247-71.

24. Wolf FM. Meta-analysis: quantitative methods for research synthesis. Beverly Hills: Sage, 1986.

25. DerSimonian R, Laird N. Meta-analysis in clinical trial. *Control Clin Trials* 1986; 7: 177-88.

26. Cohen J. Statistical power analysis for the social sciences. 3rd ed. Hillsdale New Jersey: Lawrence Erlbaum Associates, 1988.

27. Simpson GM, Lee H, Cuculic Z, Kellner R. Two dosages of imipramine in hospitalized endogenous and neurotic depressives. *Arch Gen Psychiatry* 1976; 33: 1093-102.

28. Watt DC, Crammerr JL, Elkes A. Metabolism, anticholinergic effects, and therapeutic outcome of desmethylimipramine in depressive illness. *Psychol Med* 1972; 2: 397-405.

29. Overall JE, Donachie ND, Faillace LA. Implications of restrictive diagnosis for compliance to antidepressant drug therapy: alprazolam v imipramine. *J Clin Psychiatry* 1987; 48: 51-4.

30. Singh AN, Nair NPV, Suranyi-Cadotte B, et al. A double blind comparison of alprazolam and amitriptyline hydrochloride in the treatment of nonpsychotic depression. *Can J Psychiatry* 1988; 33: 218-22.

31. Feighner JP, Aden GC, Fabre LF, Rickels K, Smith WT. Comparison of alprazolam, imipramine, and placebo in the treatment of depression. *JAMA* 1983; 249: 3057-64.

32. Schatzberg AF, Cole JO. Benzodiazepines in depressive disorders. *Arch Gen Psychiatry* 1978; 35: 1359-65.

33. Johnson DAW. The use of benzodiazepines in depression. *Br J Clin Pharmacol* 1985; 19: 31S-5S.

การรักษาอาการซึมเศร้าด้วยอัลตราโซลและยาต้านซึมเศร้ามาตราฐาน: มหภาคทางในด้านฤทธิ์ด้านซึมเศร้า

มานิต ศรีสุวรรณทร, พ.บ.* วุฒิชัย บุญฤทธิ์, พ.บ.*

Alprazolam มีความแตกต่างจากยาเบนโซไดอะซิพินดัวอื่น คือ ยานี้จะมีการรวมເຂາວແຫວ triazolo (triazolo ring) ไว้ในโครงสร้างพื้นฐาน จนถึงปัจจุบันมีหลักฐานจำนวนมากที่สนับสนุนว่า alprazolam และยาต้านซึมเศร้ามาตราฐาน (standard antidepressants) มีการออกฤทธิ์ที่คล้ายกัน เช่น beta-adrenergic receptor down-regulation, ฤทธิ์ด้านอาการแพนิค (antipanic effect) อย่างไรก็ตามความเห็นเกี่ยวกับฤทธิ์ด้านซึมเศร้า (antidepressant effect) ของ alprazolam ยังเป็นที่ถกเถียงกันอยู่ ในการวิเคราะห์นี้ ผู้นับพันยี่ได้ทำมหภาคทาง (meta-analysis) การศึกษานิดที่มีการควบคุมและสุ่มตัวอย่าง (random controlled studies) เป็นจำนวน 11 การศึกษา ซึ่งการศึกษาทั้งหมดได้ทำการเปรียบเทียบฤทธิ์ด้านซึมเศร้าของ alprazolam กับยาต้านซึมเศร้ามาตราฐานในผู้ป่วยซึมเศร้า ผลการวิเคราะห์พบว่าขนาดเฉลี่ยของประสิทธิผลเมื่อมีการให้น้ำหนักแล้ว (weighted mean effect size) เท่ากับ 0.06 สรุปได้ว่าฤทธิ์ด้านซึมเศร้าของ alprazolam เทียบเท่ากับฤทธิ์ด้านซึมเศร้าของยาต้านซึมเศร้ามาตราฐานในขนาดยาที่ต่ำ อย่างไรก็ตาม การศึกษาในผู้ป่วยซึมเศร้ารุนแรงยังมีอยู่มาก นอกเหนือไปนี้ด้านของการใช้ยาในระยะยาวก็ยังไม่มีการศึกษาวิจัยอย่างกว้างขวางทำให้ยังไม่ทราบถึงประโยชน์และโทษของ alprazolam ในเมมมัน

* ภาควิชาจิตเวชศาสตร์ คณะแพทยศาสตร์ มหาวิทยาลัยเชียงใหม่, จ.เชียงใหม่ 50200