# Microsurgical Free Flap and Replantation without Antithrombotic Agents

Lek Veravuthipakorn MD\*, Apisit Veravuthipakorn MD\*\*

\* Department of Surgery, Rajavithi Hospital \*\* Department of Surgery, Faculty of Medicine, Siriraj Hospital, Mahidol University

Microsurgical reconstructions for free flap transfer, digits and limb replantation have been highly successful applications in the past decades. Antithrombotic prophylactic agents, such as lowmolecular-weight dextran, aspirin and heparin have been routinely used for the prevention of microvascular thrombosis. Even though these agents are efficacious in microsurgery, some systemic morbidity is still reported. Forty cases of microsurgical reconstruction over the last five years are reported. They include 22 cases of free flap transfer and 18 cases of replantation (19 fingers, 2 toes and one hand). The surgery was performed by the same group of plastic surgeons and no antithrombotic agent was given intraoperatively or during the post operative period. Results show one partial flap loss, two replantation losses due to severe crush injuries of the digits and one toe replantation failure in a two year old. The failure of toe replantation was due to surgical technique and poor post operative immobilization. The result shows that successful microsurgical reconstruction depends on many factors. One of the most important factors is microsurgical technique. Use of antithrombotic agents alone does not appear to play a significant role in the patency of microvascular structures.

Keywords : Antithrombotic agent, Microsurgical free flap, Replantation

#### J Med Assoc Thai 2004; 87(6): 665-9

Microsurgical reconstruction has been widely used and flap success rates greater than 95 percent are now routinely achieved. Nonetheless, microvascular thrombosis is the main cause of free flap failure<sup>(1-5)</sup> even in the hands of expert microsurgeons. A thrombosis rate as high as 35 percent<sup>(6)</sup> has been described for replantation and free flaps transfer performed immediately after injury. Antithrombotic prophylaxis has been shown to be beneficial in these circumstances<sup>(7-9)</sup>. Multiple antithrombotic agents such as low-molecular-weight dextran, aspirin, and heparin are routinely<sup>(1-5)</sup> applied despite a lack of consensus about their use. Serious systemic complications from these agents have been reported<sup>(10-15)</sup>. Reported complications from lowmolecular-weight dextran have included acute pulmonary edema(10), adult respiratory distress syndrome (ARDS)<sup>(11)</sup>, osmotic complications in free flap surgery<sup>(12)</sup> and anaphylactic complication<sup>(13,14)</sup>. An increasing number of reports of significant morbidity and mortality related to these agents have brought into question their routine use in microsurgery. However, successes of free flap transfer and replantation using antithrombotic agents have also occasionally been reported<sup>(4,6)</sup>. The purpose of this study was to highlight cases that show that antithrombotic agents may not be the necessary factor for microvascular anastomosis and that other factors are of greater importance for good results in microsurgical reconstruction<sup>(16,17)</sup>. Microsurgical technique being one important factor.

#### **Patients and Method**

Forty patients were included in this study between January 1998 and December 2002. Patient data is shown in Table 1. Cases included 22 free tissue transfer and 18 replantation cases (19 fingers, 2 toes and one hand).

Correspondence to : Veravuthipakorn L, Division of Plastic Surgery, Department of Surgery, Rajavithi Hospital, Bangkok 10400, Thailand.

### Surgical techinique

All procedures were performed by the same group of plastic surgeons. Firstly, the authors tried to limit the operative time by two teams performing free flap transfer simultaneously, one team harvesting the donor flap and the other team preparing the recipient site. The tissue was performed under a magnifying glass. Secondly, to preserve the tissue, the donor flap and amputated part were cooled with cooled lactated Ringer's solution. After good preparation of both the recipient and the donor vessels, they were irrigated with lactated Ringer's solution which is the best irrigating solution<sup>(18,19)</sup> to remove blood from the vessel end. Finally, end to end anastomosis and posterior-wall-first microvascular anastomosis technique<sup>(20)</sup> was used resulting in high quality anastomosis without damage to the intima of the vessel. The vessel was anastomosed under an operating microscope and irrigated with lactated Ringer's solution to ensure a clear view during suturing without touching the vascular wall. Prior to complete anastomosis of the artery, the blood pressure was increased about 10 mmHg, giving more lactate Ringer's solution systemically with a decreased level of anesthesia. All microvascular anastomoses were perfomed by one plastic surgeon. Anastomosis time for each anastomosis was limited to less than 30 minutes. No patient received any antithrombotic agent.

#### Results

During the 5 year study period, 44 microsurgery operations on 40 patients were performed. There were 27 male and 13 female patients ranging in age from 2 to 71 years. Twenty two patients had elective free tissue transfer, and the other eighteen patients (19 fingers, 2 toes and one hand) had replantations because of traumatic amputation. Only one free flap showed partial flap loss, and there were two replantation failures (Table1). The one case of free tissue transfer was case No.12 in the table which was penile recontraction using a radial forearm flap. Only two centimeters of the distal part of the flap had partial flap loss. The two replantation cases failed from intravascular thrombosis involving one big toe and one finger. Both cases had severe crush injuries but the toe replantation failure was because of surgical technique. The vessel of the big toe of the child patient was very small, and there was difficulty with the post operative immobilization of the limb. Overall the success rate was 95 percent for free flap transfer and 91 percent for replantation. There was When separated into two groups, elective free flap cases versus traumatic amputation, there are relatively good results with no evidence of secondary microvascular anastomotic thrombosis except in the two cases of severe crush injuries.

## Discussion

Microsurgery is a well established procedure nowadays and high success rates have been reported. Microsurgical failure rates vary from 5 to 10 percent for free flap transfer and 15 to 30 percent for replantation<sup>(3)</sup>. Vascular thrombosis is the major complication which leads to failure in these operations. Antithrombotic agents such as lowmolecular-weight dextran, aspirin and heparin have been thought to play a significant role in this success<sup>(1-5)</sup>. Ninety-six percent of microsurgeons use antithrombotic agents such as dextran, heparin and aspirin alone or combination in free flap transfer and replantation<sup>(16)</sup>. However, these pharmacologic antithrombotic agents cause many adverse effects such as prolonged bleeding time<sup>(1)</sup> and abnormal bleeding from the site of surgery, especially in cases with highly rough surfaces. They produce effects by decreasing platelet function, as with aspirin, and increasing blood flow, decreasing blood viscosity and antiplelet aggregation, as with dextran while mitigating the action of thrombin on platelets and fibrinogen as with heparin<sup>(2-5)</sup>. Their adverse effects can cause morbidity and mortality in patients, with serious side effects from low-molecular-weight dextran have been reported<sup>(10-14)</sup>. For example, Disa and colleagues reported that dextran did not improve benefit in free flap survival but increased the risk of systemic complications<sup>(15)</sup>.

In the present study, there was only one partial free flap loss and two replantation failures (Table1). The free flap loss was due to a technical problem which showed tension of the suture line. It was salvaged by removing the penile prosthesis, debridement and advancing the flap. The two replantation failures were due to the nature of injuries and a technical problem in the 2 year old's toe replantation due to inability to immobilize as in several other reported cases<sup>(6,21)</sup>. In the severely crushed finger, the soft tissue and vessel wall were severely damaged. This was probably the cause of failure in these cases. Successful free tissue transfer only with aspirin postoperatively was reported by

| Patient<br>number | Sex | Age<br>(years) | Diagnosis  | Operation  | Operative<br>time (hrs) | Complication                      | Hospital<br>Stay (days) |
|-------------------|-----|----------------|--|--|-------------------------|-----------------------------------|-------------------------|
| 1                 | М   | 38             | Basal cell CA right cheek  | Free TRAM flap                                   | 8                       | no                                | 14                      |
| 2                 | М   | 47             | Chronic ulcer right foot   | Gracillis free flap                              | 7                       | no                                | 14                      |
| 3                 | М   | 40             | Baso-squamous cell CA right face   | Radial forearm free flap                         | 7                       | no                                | 12                      |
| 4                 | Μ   | 21             | Left zygomatic defect (trauma)   | Parascapular free flap                           | 7.5                     | no                                | 14                      |
| 5                 | М   | 47             | Chronic ulcer right foot   | Median plantar free flap                         | 7                       | no                                | 14                      |
| 6                 | F   | 17             | Post traumatic index amputation  | Toe to finger transfer                           | 7                       | no                                | 10                      |
| 7                 | Μ   | 70             | Recurrent basal cell CA upper lip  | Radial forearm free flap                         | 6                       | no                                | 10                      |
| 8                 | Μ   | 9              | Chronic ulcer right heel   | Median plantar free flap                         | 7                       | no                                | 14                      |
| 9                 | F   | 19             | Post traumatic left 4th finger amputation  | Toe to finger transfer                           | 7                       | no                                | 10                      |
| 10                | Μ   | 7              | Scalp defect   | Lattissimus dorsi free flap                      | 6                       | no                                | 10                      |
| 11                | Μ   | 71             | Malignant melanoma right foot  | Median plantar free flap                         | 7                       | no                                | 10                      |
| 12                | М   | 30             | Post traumatic penile amputation   | Radial forearm free flap (penile reconstruction) | 7                       | Partial flap<br>necrosis          | 16                      |
| 13                | F   | 58             | Radiation ulcer at back  | Lattissimus dorsi muscle<br>free flap            | 6. 5                    | no                                | 14                      |
| 14                | Μ   | 14             | Right side facial pulsy  | Gracillis muscle free flap                       | 7                       | no                                | 12                      |
| 15                | F   | 56             | Squamous cell CA right leg   | Fibular free flap                                | 7                       | no                                | 14                      |
| 16                | Μ   | 50             | Scar contracture of neck   | Radial forearm free flap                         | 6                       | no                                | 10                      |
| 17                | Μ   | 54             | Scar contracture of neck   | Radial forearm free flap                         | 6                       | no                                | 10                      |
| 18                | F   | 50             | Radiation ulcer left leg   | Lattissimus dorsi muscle free flap               | 6.5                     | no                                | 14                      |
| 19                | Μ   | 35             | S/P ORIF with plates and screws with exposure of tibia and plates, screws left leg | Gracillis muscle free flap                       | 6.5                     | no                                | 12                      |
| 20                | F   | 40             | Amoeloblastoma of mandible   | Fibular free flap                                | 7.5                     | no                                | 12                      |
| 21                | М   | 51             | Squamous cell CA of buccal mucosa  | Radial forearm free flap                         | 6.5                     | no                                | 14                      |
| 22                | М   | 36             | Electrical burn right hand   | Radial forearm free flap                         | 6                       | no                                | 12                      |
| 23                | М   | 2              | Traumatic amputation of right big toe  | Big toe replantation                             | 4.5                     | no                                | 5                       |
| 24                | М   | 23             | Traumatic amputation of right hand   | RT. hand replantation                            | 7                       | no                                | 3                       |
| 25                | F   | 2              | Traumatic amputation of left big toe   | Big toe replantation                             | 5                       | failure                           | 5                       |
| 26                | F   | 18             | Traumatic amputation of right thumb  | Thumb replantation                               | 4.5                     | no                                | 3                       |
| 27                | М   | 15             | Traumatic amputation of right $2^{nd}$ , $3^{rd}$ fingers                          | Fingers replantation                             | 6                       | no                                | 3                       |
| 28                | М   | 19             | Traumatic amputation of left 3 <sup>rd</sup> , 4 <sup>th</sup> fingers             | Fingers replantation                             | 6                       | 4 <sup>th</sup> finger<br>failure | 7                       |
| 29                | М   | 24             | Traumatic amputation of right $2^{nd}$ , $3^{rd}$ fingers                          | Fingers replantation                             | 6                       | no                                | 3                       |
| 30                | М   | 16             | Traumatic amputation of right thumb  | Thumb replantation                               | 4.5                     | no                                | 3                       |
| 31                | F   | 36             | Traumatic amputation of left 2 <sup>nd</sup> , 3 <sup>rd</sup> fingers             | Fingers replantation                             | 6                       | no                                | 3                       |
| 32                | F   | 6              | Traumatic amputation of left index   | Finger replantation                              | 4                       | no                                | 3                       |
| 33                | M   | 32             | Traumatic amputation of left thumb   | Thumb replantation                               | 4.5                     | no                                | 3                       |
| 34                | М   | 28             | Traumatic amputation of right index  | Finger replantation                              | 3.5                     | no                                | 3                       |
| 35                | М   | 33             | Traumatic amputation of right index  | Finger replantation                              | 3.5                     | no                                | 3                       |
| 36                | F   | 27             | Traumatic amputation of left ring finger   | Finger replantation                              | 4                       | no                                | 3                       |
| 37                | M   | 31             | Traumatic amputation of left index   | Finger replantation                              | 3.5                     | no                                | 3                       |
| 38                | M   | 28             | Traumatic amputation of left index   | Finger replantation                              | 3.5                     | no                                | 3                       |
| 39                | F   | 17             | Traumatic amputation of right index  | Finger replantation                              | 4                       | no                                | 3                       |
| 40                | F   | 42             | Traumatic amputation of left middle finger   | Finger replantation                              | 4                       | no                                | 3                       |

Table 1. Result of 40 cases of microsurgery without antithrombotic prophylaxis

Disa and colleagues<sup>(15)</sup>. The survival rate of free flap transfer and replantatian in the present study were comparable with rates in other studies. The success rate for free flap transfer in children was 96 percent<sup>(21)</sup>, and for adult replantation and free flap

transfer was 79 and 89 percent, respectively<sup>(22)</sup>. The success rate in the present study was 91 percent for replantation and 95 percent for free flap transfer. This success rate in microvascular surgery is no less than in other studies using antithrombotic agents.

O'Brien mentioned that the success of microvascular anastomosis depends on several factors<sup>(17-19)</sup>, such as surgical technique, planning and antithrombotic agents. Results show that a good surgical technique, and good planning are more important than using antithrombotic agents. Antithrombotic agents seem to be indicted only in crush inury cases<sup>(7-9)</sup>.

### Conclusion

The success rate of microsurgical reconstruction depends on microvascular patency. Microsurgical technique is the most significant factor for success. The present results show that antithrombotic agents are no more than adjuncts to good microsurgical technique and thus are an unnecessary diversion to be avoided. The success rate of microvascular surgery without antihrombotic agents reported here is the same as in other reported series which used antithrombotic agents.

#### References

- Reilly R. Anticoagulant, antithrombotic and thrombolytic drugs. In: Gilman AG, Goodman LS, Gilman A, eds. The Pharmacological Bases of Therapeutics. New York: Macmillan; 1985.
- Johnson PC, Barker JH. Thrombosis and antithrombotic therapy in microvascular surgery. Clin Plast Surg 1992; 19: 799.
- 3. Conrad MH, Adams WP. Pharmacologic optimization of microsurgery in the new millennium. Plast Reconstr Surg 2001; 1088: 2088-96.
- 4. Davies DM. A world survey of anticoagulation practice in clinical microvascular surgery. Br J Plast Surg 1982; 35(1): 96-9.
- Ketchum LD. Pharmacological alterations in the clotting mechanism: Use in microvascular surgery. J Hand Surg (Am) 1978; 3(5): 407-15.
- Weiland AJ, Villarreal Rios A, Kleinert HE, et al. Replantation of digits and hands: Analysis of surgical technique and functional results in 71 patients with 86 replantations. J Hand Surg (Am) 1977; 2: 1-4.
- Davidson SF, Brantley SK, Talbot PJ. A functional model of microvasculor thrombosis. Plast Reconstr Surg 1990; 86: 579-81.
- Cooley BC, Gould JS. Experimental models for evaluating antithrombotic therapies in replantation microsurgery. Microsurgery 1987; 8 (4): 230-3.

- Salemark L, Wieslander JB, Dougan P, et al. Studies of the antithrombotic effects of dextran 40 following microarterial trauma. Br J Plast Surg 1991; 44: 15-22.
- Kitziger KJ, Sanders WE, Andrew CP. Acute pulmonary edema associated with use of lowmolecular-weight dextran for prevention of microvascular thrombosis. J Hand Surg (Am) 1990; 15 (6): 902-5.
- Hein KD, Wechsler ME, Schwartzstein RM, et al. The adult respiratory distress syndrome after dextran injection as an antithrombotic agent in free TRAM flap breast reconstruction. Plast Reconstr Surg 1999; 103: 1706-8.
- Hardin CK, Kirk WC, Pederson WC. Osmotic complications of low-molecular-weight dextran therapy in free flap surgery. Microsurgery 1992; 13: 36-8.
- 13. Krenxelok EP, Parker WA. Dextran 40 anaphylaxis. Anesth Analg 1975; 54: 736-40.
- Machado MA, Volpe P, Lima M, et al. Anaphylaxis after dextran 40 infusion: Report of a case and review of the literature. Rev Hosp Clin Fad Med Sdo Pouto 1975; 48: 167-80.
- Disa JJ, Polvora VG, Pusic AL, et al. Dextran-related complications in head and neck microsurgery. Do the benefits outweigh the risks? A prospective randomized analysis. Plast Reconstr Surg 2003; 112(6): 1534-9.
- Glicksman A, Feider M, Casale P, et al. 1457 years of microsurgical experience. Plast Reconstr Surg 1997; 100: 355.
- O'Brien B, Merrison WA. Reconstructive Microsurgery. New York: Churchill Livingston; 1987: 33-6.
- Rejchel CA, Croll GH, Pucke CL, et al. A comparison of irrigation solutions for microanastomosis. J Hand Surg (Am) 1988; 13(1): 34-6.
- Acland RD, Lubbers LL, Grafton RB, et al. Irrigating solution for small blood vessel surgery - a histologic comparison. Plast Reconstr Surg 1980; 65(4): 460-5.
- Harris GD, Finseth F, Buncke HJ. Posterior-wall-first microvascular anastomosis technique. Br J Plast Surg 1981; 34: 47-9.
- Parry SW, Toth BA, Elliot LF. Microvascular freetissue transfer in children. Plast Reconstr Surg 1988; 81: 838-40.
- 22. Salemark L. International survey of current microvascular practices in free tissue transfer and replantation surgery. Microsurgery 1991; 12: 308-11.

# การผ่าตัดจุลศัลยกรรมในการย้ายเนื้อเยื่อและต่ออวัยวะโดยไม่ใช้ยาต้านการแข็งตัวของเลือด

# เล็ก วีระวุฒิปกรณ์, อภิสิทธิ์ วีระวุฒิปกรณ์

การผ่าตัดจุลศัลยกรรมเพื่อย้ายเนื้อเยื่อและต่ออวัยวะที่ขาด เช่น นิ้วและมือ ซึ่งได้รับความนิยม และประสบ ผลสำเร็จในอัตราสูง จุลศัลยแพทย์จะใช้ยาต้านการแข็งตัวของเลือด เช่น dextran, aspirin หรือ heparin เพื่อป้องกันการอุดตันของหลอดเลือด ในปัจจุบันยังไม่มีข้อมูลแสดงประสิทธิภาพของยาเหล่านี้ต่อผลสำเร็จของ การผ่าตัดตัดต่อหลอดเลือด แต่มีรายงานถึงภาวะแทรกซ้อนต่าง ๆ จากยาเหล่านี้ การศึกษานี้ได้รายงานผู้ป่วย 40 ราย ซึ่งได้รับการผ่าตัดย้ายเนื้อเยื่อ 22 ราย ต่ออวัยวะ 18 ราย เป็นการต่อนิ้วมือ 19 นิ้ว นิ้วเท้า 2 นิ้ว และมือ 1 มือ การผ่าตัดทุกรายใช้แพทย์ศัลยกรรมตกแต่งทีมเดียวกัน ผู้ป่วยทุกรายไม่ได้รับสารต้านการแข็งตัวของเลือด ทั้งก่อนและหลังการผ่าตัด การผ่าตัดประสบผลสำเร็จดี แต่มีเนื้อตายบริเวณส่วนปลายในการย้ายเนื้อเยื่อ 1 ราย และมีนิ้วตาย 2 นิ้ว ได้แก่ นิ้วมือ 1 นิ้ว และ นิ้วเท้าเด็ก 1 นิ้ว เนื่องจากเนื้อเยื่อส่วนที่ขาดทั้ง 2 นิ้วซ้ำมาก และไม่สามารถ ทำให้เท้าเด็กอยู่นิ่งได้ ผู้รายงานมีความเห็นว่าความสำเร็จของการผ่าตัดมีหลายปัจจัย ไม่ใช่ขึ้นกับยาต้านการแข็งตัว ของเลือดเพียงอย่างเดียว เทคนิคการผ่าตัด น่าจะเป็นปัจจัยที่สำคัญมากต่อผลการผ่าตัดตัดต่อหลอดเลือด ทางจุลศัลยกรรม