The Comparative Effectiveness between Branded Generic Atorvastatin (Lipostat®) and Authorized Generic Atorvastatin (Xarator®): A Prospective Cohort Study

Natnicha Pongbangli, MD¹, Arintaya Phrommintikul, MD^{2,3}, Siriluck Gunaparn, RN², Wanwarang Wongcharoen, MD²

¹ Division of Cardiology, Department of Internal Medicine, Chiang Rai Prachanukroh Hospital, Chiang Rai, Thailand; ² Division of Cardiology, Department of Internal Medicine, Chiang Mai University, Chiang Mai, Thailand; ³ Center for Medical Excellence, Faculty of Medicine, Chiang Mai University, Chiang Mai, Thailand

Background: An authorized generic drug has identical ingredients as the brand-name drug but marketed as a generic version by the innovator company with a lower cost. A branded generic drug is an imitation of the brand-name medication whose patent has expired. The active elements of a branded generic medicine are the same as those of the original drug, however the inactive ingredients may differ.

Objective: To assess the effectiveness of the branded generic atorvastatin (Lipostat®), compared to the authorized generic atorvastatin (Xarator®) in decreasing low density lipoprotein cholesterol (LDL-C) levels.

Materials and Methods: The present study was a prospective cohort study that included patients who had been taking the authorized generic atorvastatin (Xarator®) 40 mg once daily for at least three months. The authorized generic atorvastatin (Xarator®) was switched to branded generic atorvastatin (Lipostat®) 40 mg once daily for the next three months. Blood chemistry including lipid profile were evaluated before and three months after the transition from Xarator® to Lipostat®.

Results: Of the 61 patients, mean age was 67.0±9.9 years, and 45 (73.7%) were male. The two most common comorbidities included coronary artery disease in 80.3% and hypertension in 60.7%. At three months after switching to branded generic atorvastatin, the mean total cholesterol changed from 133.6±28.8 to 130.0±25.8 mg/dL, the triglyceride changed from 123.3±78.3 to 115.6±70.1 mg/dL, and the LDL-C changed from 68.8±24.1 to 65.5±20.3 mg/dL, thus, they were not significantly different compared to the baseline. There were also no significant differences in adverse events between Lipostat® and Xarator®.

Conclusion: Branded generic atorvastatin (Lipostat®) is as effective as the authorized generic atorvastatin (Xarator®) in lowering LDL-C levels.

Keywords: Atorvastatin; Authorized generic drug; Branded generic atorvastatin; Low-density lipoprotein cholesterol; High-density lipoprotein cholesterol; Statin

Received 8 May 2023 | Revised 20 June 2023 | Accepted 23 June 2023

J Med Assoc Thai 2023;106(10):943-8

Website: http://www.jmatonline.com

Atherosclerotic cardiovascular disease (ASCVD) is an important health problem worldwide⁽¹⁾. It is well-established that dyslipidemia is a strong risk factor of ASCVD⁽²⁾. International guidelines recommend lowering the low-density lipoprotein cholesterol (LDL-C) as a primary target⁽³⁻⁶⁾. In high-

Correspondence to:

Wongcharoen W.

Division of Cardiology, Department of Internal Medicine, Faculty of Medicine, Chiang Mai University, 110 Intawaroros Road, Si Phum, Muang, Chiang Mai 50200, Thailand.

Phone: +66-53-936793, Fax: +66-53-289177

Email: bwanwarang@yahoo.com

How to cite this article:

Pongbangli N, Phrommintikul A, Gunaparn S, Wongcharoen W. The Comparative Effectiveness between Branded Generic Atorvastatin (Lipostat®) and Authorized Generic Atorvastatin (Xarator®): A Prospective Cohort Study. J Med Assoc Thai 2023;106:943-8. DOI: 10.35755/jmedassocthai.2023.10.13898 risk patients including established ASCVD, familial hypercholesterolemia, diabetes mellitus with target organ damage, the aim is to reduce LDL-C by at least 50% from baseline levels and to the level below 70 mg/dL in those at high risk⁽⁶⁾. The high-potency statin is recommended as the first-line therapy in those with high-risk ASCVD. However, a large cohort of Thai patients with high-risk ASCVD (CORE-Thailand registry) demonstrated a significantly low rate of LDL-C goal attainment in Thai population⁽⁷⁾. The reason may be due to the limited access to standard of care due to the high cost of medications.

Atorvastatin is a high-potency statin that is commonly used worldwide in patients with dyslipidemia and those with ASCVD^(8,9). During the current era of cost-concern in health economics, the comptroller general's department, which is a Thai government agency under the Ministry of Finance,



has set the middle price for atorvastatin. As a result, the original, brand-name, atorvastatin (Lipitor®) is no longer in the national drug list in Thailand due to its high cost.

An authorized generic drug refers to a medication that is identical to its branded counterpart, manufactured by the same company, but marketed under a different name. It is authorized or approved by the original manufacturer and typically enters the market after the patent expiration or exclusivity period of the branded drug. On the other hand, a branded generic drug is a medication that is produced by a company other than the one that initially developed and marketed the brand-name drug. However, the branded generic drug carries the same active ingredients, dosage form, strength, and intended use as the original branded drug. The difference lies in the branding and marketing, as the generic drug is sold under a different trade name. Both authorized generic drugs and branded generic drugs are intended to provide cost-effective alternatives to the brand-name drugs while maintaining comparable quality and therapeutic efficacy⁽¹⁰⁾.

The authorized generic atorvastatin and branded generic atorvastatin are two variations of the generic drug atorvastatin, with slight differences in their marketing and branding. However, it is noteworthy that in Thailand, authorized generic atorvastatin is associated with higher costs compared to branded generic atorvastatin. Consequently, investigating the comparative effectiveness of these two variants, specifically focusing on the more affordable branded generic atorvastatin, becomes imperative to mitigate healthcare expenses.

Lipostat® is one of branded generic atorvastatin, marketed by Siam Pharmaceutical, Thailand. A bioequivalence study has demonstrated similar pharmacokinetic and pharmacodynamic (PK/PD) parameters between Lipostat® and the original atorvastatin. The present study aimed to assess the effectiveness of branded generic atorvastatin (Lipostat®), compared to the authorized generic atorvastatin (Xarator®) in decreasing LDL-C levels.

Materials and Methods

The present study was a prospective cohort study of patients presenting to the out-patient cardiology clinic at Maharaj Nakorn Chiang Mai Hospital between July 2021 and May 2022. The inclusion criteria for the study were participants aged 18 years or older who had been on a once-daily dose of Xarator® 40 mg for a minimum of three months and had successfully reached the specified LDL-C goal according to the lipid guideline. All participants required a baseline LDL-C level measured while they were receiving Xarator® 40 mg once daily for at least three months. Patients who met the inclusion requirements and agreed to participate in the study were enrolled. Baseline characteristics including age, gender, body mass index, comorbidities, socioeconomic status, and concomitant medications, and laboratory data including lipid profile, renal function, and liver function were collected.

The authorized generic atorvastatin (Xarator®) 40 mg once daily was switched to the branded generic atorvastatin (Lipostat®) 40 mg once daily for three months. At 3-month follow-up, the lipid profile, renal function, and liver function were measured. The adverse events, including myalgia, myositis, and rhabdomyolysis, were collected over the 3-month period. The change of other lipid-lowering agent was discouraged during the study period. Methods of measuring medication adherence included the use of pill counts (Figure 1).

Statistical analysis

The authors calculated the sample size by comparing a mean to a known value (pair t-test). Previous study demonstrated the mean level of LDL-C after taking the high-potency statin was 100 mg/dL⁽¹¹⁾. The standard deviation of the sampled population was estimated as 13 mg/dL. The authors proposed the acceptable difference of LDL-C lowering between the two generic atorvastatin was 6%. The estimated total sample size was 54 patients. The authors anticipated that 10% of the patients may be lost to follow-up or will have drug compliance issue. Therefore, a total sample size of 60 patients was required.

Continuous variables were reported as mean \pm standard deviation (SD), while categorical variables were presented as counts with corresponding percentages. Baseline demographic and clinical information were summarized using descriptive statistics. Within-group comparisons of numerical variables were performed using paired t-tests. Statistical software package IBM SPSS Statistics for Windows, version 23.0 (IBM Corp., Armonk, NY, USA, https://www.ibm.com/products/spss-statistics) was used for analysis.

The present study was carried out following the Declaration of Helsinki and approved by the Research Ethics Committee of the Faculty of Medicine, Chiang Mai University, Approval No. 308/2564.

Results

Sixty-one patients switched treatment from authorized generic atorvastatin (Xarator®) to the branded generic atorvastatin (Lipostat®). Baseline characteristics of dyslipidemia patients are shown in Table 1. The mean age was 67.0±9.9 years, and 45 patients (73.7%) were male. The mean body mass index was 24.6±4.8 kg/m². The three most common comorbidities included coronary artery disease in 80.3% (49 patients), hypertension in 60.7% (37 patients), and diabetes mellitus in 37.7% (23 patients). There was no chronic liver disease or familial hypercholesterolemia in the present study population. Anti-platelet therapy was used in 53 patients (86.9%) of the patients. Of the 61 patients, 51 patients (83.6%) used beta blocker, and 81.9% of them used angiotensin converting enzyme inhibitor/ angiotensin receptor blocker/angiotensin receptor neprilysin inhibitor. Regarding lipid lowering agents, 41.0% used ezetimibe and 1.6% used fibrate in combination with statin. No bile acid sequestrants or PCSK9 inhibiting drug were used in the present studied population.

The lipid profile, renal function and liver function are demonstrated in Table 2. At baseline, total cholesterol was 133.6±28.8 mg/dL, high-density lipoprotein cholesterol (HDL-C) was 47.9±11.8 mg/dL, LDL-C was 68.8±24.1 mg/dL, and triglyceride was 123.3±78.3 mg/dL. Three months after switching from Xarator® to Lipostat®, total cholesterol was 130.0±25.8 mg/dL, HDL-C was 47.9±11.8 mg/dL, Table 1. Baseline characteristic of dyslipidemia patients who switched treatment from the authorized generic atorvastatin (Xarator®) to the branded generic atorvastatin (Lipostat®)

| Baseline characteristics | Total patients (n=61) | | | |
|---|-----------------------|--|--|--|
| Age (years); mean±SD | 67.0 <u>±</u> 9.9 | | | |
| Male; n (%) | 45 (73.7) | | | |
| Body mass index (kg/m ²); mean±SD | 24.6 ± 4.8 | | | |
| Health care payment scheme; n (%) | | | | |
| Civil servant medical benefit scheme | 35 (57.4) | | | |
| Universal health-care coverage scheme | 18 (29.5) | | | |
| Social security | 7 (11.5) | | | |
| Self-pay | 1 (1.6) | | | |
| Comorbid diseases; n (%) | | | | |
| Diabetes mellitus | 23 (37.7) | | | |
| Chronic kidney disease | 19 (31.2) | | | |
| Hypertension | 37 (60.7) | | | |
| Coronary artery disease | 49 (80.3) | | | |
| Cerebrovascular disease | 3 (5.0) | | | |
| Current medications; n (%) | | | | |
| Antiplatelet | 53 (86.9) | | | |
| Betablocker | 51 (83.6) | | | |
| ACEI/ARB/ARNI | 50 (81.9) | | | |
| Anti-diabetic drug | 20 (32.8) | | | |
| Proton pump inhibitor | 19 (31.2) | | | |
| Ezetimibe | 25 (41.0) | | | |
| Fibrate | 1 (1.6) | | | |
| | | | | |

ACEI=angiotensin converting enzyme inhibitor; ARB=angiotensin receptor blocker; ARNI=angiotensin receptor neprilysin inhibitor; SD=standard deviation

LDL-C was 65.5±20.3 mg/dL, and triglyceride was 115.6±70.1 mg/dL. There were no statistically significant differences in terms of efficacy between Xarator® and Lipostat®.

The medication adherence was reported as 100% in 59 of 61 patients (97%) of the patients. There were no reports of drug-related adverse events, including myalgia, myositis, and rhabdomyolysis, after switching from Xarator® to Lipostat®. In the present study, the authors successfully maintained complete patient follow-up throughout the duration of the research, with no loss to follow-up.

Discussion

In the era of economic concerns in the healthcare community, the cost-effectiveness of therapy has emerged as a crucial issue. The cost-effectiveness of dyslipidemia therapy depends on several factors, including baseline CVD risk and LDL-C levels, cost of treatment, and uptake of preventive strategies⁽¹²⁾. The use of less expensive generic medications has developed as a substitute strategy⁽¹³⁾.

 Table 2. Biochemical parameters before and 3 months after switching treatment from the authorized generic atorvastatin (Xarator®) to the branded generic atorvastatin (Lipostat®)

| Biochemical parameters | Baseline; mean \pm SD | At 3 months follow-up; mean \pm SD | Mean differences (95% CI) | p-value |
|---------------------------|-------------------------|--------------------------------------|---------------------------|---------|
| Total cholesterol (mg/dL) | 133.6 ± 28.8 | 130.0 ± 25.8 | -3.6 (-2.4 to 9.6) | 0.235 |
| HDL (mg/dL) | 47.9 ± 11.8 | 48.4 ± 11.7 | 0.6 (-2.6 to 1.4) | 0.566 |
| LDL (mg/dL) | 68.8 ± 24.1 | 65.5±20.3 | -3.3 (-1.3 to 7.9) | 0.156 |
| Triglyceride(mg/dL) | 123.3 ± 78.3 | 115.6 ± 70.1 | -7.7 (-1.5 to 16.8) | 0.101 |
| Creatinine (mg/dL) | $1.3 {\pm} 0.9$ | 1.3 ± 1.0 | 0.1 (-0.1 to 0.1) | 0.516 |
| AST (U/L) | 25.7±7.7 | 26.6 ± 11.3 | 0.9 (-3.3 to 1.6) | 0.478 |
| ALT (U/L) | 24.2 ± 12.3 | 26.4±15.7 | 2.2 (-5.1 to 0.7) | 0.130 |
| ALP (U/L) | 92.9±83.7 | 96.3±100.2 | 3.4 (-10.1 to 3.4) | 0.321 |
| Total bilirubin (mg/dL) | 0.67 ± 0.3 | 0.68 ± 0.3 | 0.1 (-0.1 to 0.0) | 0.440 |
| Direct bilirubin (mg/dL) | 0.3 ± 0.1 | 0.3 ± 0.1 | 0.0 (-0.0 to 0.0) | 0.716 |

HDL=high-density lipoprotein; LDL=low-density lipoprotein; AST=aspartate aminotransferase; ALT=alanine transaminase; ALP=alkaline phosphatase; SD=standard deviation; CI=confidence interval

Generic medicine is an equivalent of an originator pharmaceutical product because it contains the same active substance as the originator product. Three categories of generic drugs have been described, authorized generic drugs, branded generic drugs, and unbranded generic drugs⁽¹⁰⁾. The key difference between authorized generic drug and branded generic drug is that the former was manufactured by the innovator company and the latter was manufactured by a different company. As a result, the authorized generic drug has identical active and inactive compounds as an original drug. On the other hand, the branded generic drug had similar active ingredients, but the inactive compounds may be dissimilar. The unbranded generic drugs are usually known by their chemical name. Although the unbranded generic drugs are the cheapest among generic drugs, they are not widely available in the market.

There has been the perception that branded and unbranded generic drugs are substandard than authorized generic drug among some physicians⁽¹⁴⁾. Nevertheless, the authorized generic drug is generally more expensive than the branded generic drug. These negative insights of branded generic drugs compared to authorized generic drug among health care workers represent a potential barrier to the use of lower cost medications^(15,16).

Previous studies reported the generic atorvastatin is as effective as the original atorvastatin (Lipitor®) in lowering LDL-C levels, which could lead to substantial cost saving without diminishing clinical effectiveness⁽¹⁷⁻²³⁾. Nevertheless, there has been no study comparing authorized generic atorvastatin and branded generic atorvastatin.

The present study is the first prospective cohort

study in adult Thai patients demonstrating the similar effectiveness of authorized generic atorvastatin (Xarator®) and branded generic atorvastatin (Lipostat®) on LDL-C lowering in patients with high-risk ASCVD.

During the era of cost-concern in the medical community, high cost of medications is one of the barriers to medication adherence. The present study finding supports the usage of generic atorvastatin with lower cost in patients with high-risk ASCVD, which could lead to substantial cost saving for patients and health care plans without compromising clinical effectiveness^(23,24). The present study suggests that the outcomes obtained are applicable to a wider group of Thai patients in need of high-potency statin therapy to effectively manage their cardiovascular risk.

In the present study, the authors assessed lipid parameters three months after transitioning from authorized generic atorvastatin to branded generic atorvastatin. The authors acknowledge that the follow-up period for evaluating lipid reduction was short in relation to assessing the effectiveness of the drug. However, it is important to note that European guidelines for the management of dyslipidemias 2019 recommend assessing treatment response at six to eight weeks from the start of the therapy⁽⁶⁾. Despite the brief three-month follow-up period, the findings demonstrate that substituting the authorized generic atorvastatin (Xarator®) with the branded generic atorvastatin (Lipostat®) can effectively sustain the reduction of LDL-C levels.

Limitation

First, the sample size was small. Second, the present study was a non-randomized, non-blinded

clinical study aimed at comparing LDL-C levels in the same patient before and after switching from authorized generic atorvastatin to branded generic atorvastatin. Notably, the present study did not include a control group. Therefore, the observed effects of branded generic atorvastatin on LDL-C lowering could be influenced by the Hawthorne effect, a type of attention bias. The patients participating in the present study may have altered their behavior due to being observed, potentially impacting their LDL-C levels. However, the lifestyle modifications, such as reduced dietary saturated fat and exercise, typically lead to a modest 5% to 10% reduction in LDL-C levels⁽⁶⁾. Consequently, the potential confounding factors in the present study are unlikely to have had a significant impact on LDL-C levels. Finally, the cost-utility analysis was not performed. As a result, the cost-effectiveness of branded generic atorvastatin has yet to be proven. To support the present study findings, additional trials with cost-utility analysis and longer-term follow-up are required.

Conclusion

In the patients with dyslipidemia and high-risk ASCVD, the branded generic atorvastatin (Lipostat®) is as effective as the authorized generic atorvastatin (Xarator®) in lowering cholesterol levels without serious adverse effects.

What is already known on this topic?

Atorvastatin is a high-potency statin that is commonly used worldwide in patients with dyslipidemia and those with established ASCVDs.

What does this study add?

This study demonstrated that the branded generic atorvastatin (Lipostat®) 40 mg once daily has similar effectiveness in LDL-C reduction compared to authorized generic atorvastatin (Xarator®).

Acknowledgment

The authors would like to express their appreciation for all the efforts and contributions to the study, support from Staff in the Department of Internal Medicine, Chiang Mai University, Chiang Mai, Thailand.

Authors' contributions

NP performed statistical analysis, wrote the manuscript and tables. AP performed statistical analysis, data analysis and data interpretation. SG collected and re-checked the data prior to the analysis. WW designed the cohort, conception of the data analysis, data interpretation, and critically revised the manuscript. All authors agreed to be accountable for all aspects of the work in ensuring that questions related to the accuracy or integrity of any part of the work are appropriately investigated and resolved.

Availability of data

The data that support the findings of the present study are available from the corresponding author upon reasonable request.

Funding disclosure

The present study was supported by the Faculty of Medicine Endowment Fund for Medical Research, Chiang Mai University, Thailand and Siam Pharmaceutical, Bangkok, Thailand. The funding sources had no role in the design and conduct of the study, collection, management, analysis, and interpretation of the data, preparation, review, or approval of the manuscript, and decision to submit the manuscript for publication.

Conflicts of interest

The authors declare no conflict of interest. The branded generic atorvastatin (Lipostat®) used in the present study was provided by Siam Pharmaceutical, Bangkok, Thailand. The investigators independently designed and conducted the study. The data was analyzed, and the manuscript was written by the investigators.

References

- Kim H, Kim S, Han S, Rane PP, Fox KM, Qian Y, et al. Prevalence and incidence of atherosclerotic cardiovascular disease and its risk factors in Korea: a nationwide population-based study. BMC Public Health 2019;19:1112.
- Mozaffarian D, Benjamin EJ, Go AS, Arnett DK, Blaha MJ, Cushman M, et al. Heart disease and stroke statistics--2015 update: a report from the American Heart Association. Circulation 2015;131:e29-322.
- Ference BA, Ginsberg HN, Graham I, Ray KK, Packard CJ, Bruckert E, et al. Low-density lipoproteins cause atherosclerotic cardiovascular disease. 1. Evidence from genetic, epidemiologic, and clinical studies. A consensus statement from the European Atherosclerosis Society Consensus Panel. Eur Heart J 2017;38:2459-72.
- Grundy SM, Stone NJ, Bailey AL, Beam C, Birtcher KK, Blumenthal RS, et al. 2018 AHA/ACC/ AACVPR/AAPA/ABC/ACPM/ADA/AGS/APhA/ ASPC/NLA/PCNA guideline on the management of

blood cholesterol: A report of the American College of Cardiology/American Heart Association Task Force on clinical practice guidelines. Circulation 2019;139:e1082-143.

- Gencer B, Marston NA, Im K, Cannon CP, Sever P, Keech A, et al. Efficacy and safety of lowering LDL cholesterol in older patients: a systematic review and meta-analysis of randomised controlled trials. Lancet 2020;396:1637-43.
- Task Force Members; ESC Committee for Practice Guidelines (CPG); ESC National Cardiac Societies. 2019 ESC/EAS guidelines for the management of dyslipidaemias: Lipid modification to reduce cardiovascular risk. Atherosclerosis 2019;290:140-205.
- Phrommintikul A, Krittayaphong R, Wongcharoen W, Yamwong S, Boonyaratavej S, Kunjara-Na-Ayudhya R, et al. Management of atherosclerosis risk factors for patients at high cardiovascular risk in real-world practice: a multicentre study. Singapore Med J 2017;58:535-42.
- Crismaru I, Pantea Stoian A, Bratu OG, Gaman MA, Stanescu AMA, Bacalbasa N, et al. Low-density lipoprotein cholesterol lowering treatment: the current approach. Lipids Health Dis 2020;19:85.
- Benjamin EJ, Blaha MJ, Chiuve SE, Cushman M, Das SR, Deo R, et al. Heart disease and stroke statistics-2017 update: A report from the American Heart Association. Circulation 2017;135:e146-603.
- Latwal B, Chandra A. Authorized generics vs. branded generics: A perspective. J Generic Med 2020;17:5-9.
- 11. Conard SE, Bays HE, Leiter LA, Bird SR, Rubino J, Lowe RS, et al. Efficacy and safety of ezetimibe added on to atorvastatin (20 mg) versus uptitration of atorvastatin (to 40 mg) in hypercholesterolemic patients at moderately high risk for coronary heart disease. Am J Cardiol 2008;102:1489-94.
- Franco OH, Peeters A, Looman CW, Bonneux L. Cost effectiveness of statins in coronary heart disease. J Epidemiol Community Health 2005;59:927-33.
- Worthington HC, Cheng L, Majumdar SR, Morgan SG, Raymond CB, Soumerai SB, et al. The impact of a physician detailing and sampling program for generic atorvastatin: an interrupted time series analysis. Implement Sci 2017;12:141.
- 14. Olsson E, Kälvemark Sporrong S. Pharmacists' experiences and attitudes regarding generic drugs and

generic substitution: two sides of the coin. Int J Pharm Pract 2012;20:377-83.

- Shrank WH, Liberman JN, Fischer MA, Girdish C, Brennan TA, Choudhry NK. Physician perceptions about generic drugs. Ann Pharmacother 2011;45:31-8.
- Drozdowska A, Hermanowski T. Exploring factors underlying the attitude of community pharmacists to generic substitution: a nationwide study from Poland. Int J Clin Pharm 2016;38:162-70.
- 17. Kim SH, Park K, Hong SJ, Cho YS, Sung JD, Moon GW, et al. Efficacy and tolerability of a generic and a branded formulation of atorvastatin 20 mg/d in hypercholesterolemic Korean adults at high risk for cardiovascular disease: a multicenter, prospective, randomized, double-blind, double-dummy clinical trial. Clin Ther 2010;32:1896-905.
- Boh M, Opolski G, Poredos P, Ceska R, Jezovnik M. Therapeutic equivalence of the generic and the reference atorvastatin in patients with increased coronary risk. Int Angiol 2011;30:366-74.
- Ong LM, Punithavathi N, Lena YL, Mahanim O, Leekha S. Long-term efficacy and safety of a generic atorvastatin in usual clinical care setting. Med J Malaysia 2011;66:214-9.
- 20. Loch A, Bewersdorf JP, Kofink D, Ismail D, Abidin IZ, Veriah RS. Generic atorvastatin is as effective as the brand-name drug (LIPITOR(®)) in lowering cholesterol levels: a cross-sectional retrospective cohort study. BMC Res Notes 2017;10:291.
- Manasirisuk P, Chainirun N, Tiamkao S, Lertsinudom S, Phunikhom K, Sawunyavisuth B, et al. Efficacy of generic atorvastatin in a real-world setting. Clin Pharmacol 2021;13:45-51.
- 22. Kesselheim AS, Misono AS, Lee JL, Stedman MR, Brookhart MA, Choudhry NK, et al. Clinical equivalence of generic and brand-name drugs used in cardiovascular disease: a systematic review and meta-analysis. JAMA 2008;300:2514-26.
- 23. Jackevicius CA, Tu JV, Krumholz HM, Austin PC, Ross JS, Stukel TA, et al. Comparative effectiveness of generic atorvastatin and lipitor® in patients hospitalized with an acute coronary syndrome. J Am Heart Assoc 2016;5:e003350.
- Agrawal D, Manchanda SC, Sawhney JPS, Kandpal B, Jain R, Mehta A, et al. To study the effect of high dose Atorvastatin 40mg versus 80mg in patients with dyslipidemia. Indian Heart J 2018;70 Suppl 3:S8-12.