Knowledge of Attention Deficit Hyperactivity Disorder and Its Associated Factors among Teachers in 3 Large Primary Schools in Phra Nakorn Sri Ayutthaya Province, Thailand

Pongchanok Muanprasart MD*, Chanchai Traivaree MD*, Wirongrong Arunyanart MD*, Chakriya Teeranate MD**

* Department of Pediatrics, Phramongkutklao Hospital, Bangkok, Thailand ** Department of Pediatrics, Phramongkutklao College of Medicine, Bangkok, Thailand

Background: Though attention deficit, hyperactivity disorder, ADHD is a common problem in childhood. Thai teachers' knowledge regarding the disease has never been assessed.

Objective: To identify knowledge of Thai teachers regarding ADHD and its influencing factors.

Material and Method: Cross-sectional study was operated in three primary schools in Ayutthaya, Thailand. Standardized questionnaires comprised of demographic data, ADHD experiences and the Knowledge of Attention Deficit Disorder Scale, KADDS, were distributed to participating teachers. Results were reported using frequency, percent, mean, and standard deviation. Association between demographic and ADHD experiences and the KADDS score was identified by logistic regression analysis.

Results: Lack of knowledge of ADHD among teachers was apparent. Only 19.4% of them passed the total scale of KADDS. Teachers under 31-years old were more likely to pass general information and signs, symptoms & diagnosis subscales and total scale. In addition, familiarity with ADHD patients was associated with passing scores of general information subscale and total scale.

Conclusion: Despite public awareness of ADHD, Thai teachers lacked knowledge concerning the disease. Young teachers were more acquainted with ADHD. Direct experience with ADHD patient might help teachers develop their knowledge on ADHD.

Keywords: ADHD, Teachers, Primary school, Knowledge, Associated factors, KADDS

J Med Assoc Thai 2014; 97 (Suppl. 2): S107-S114 Full text. e-Journal: http://www.jmatonline.com

When children reach school age, school life is an important part of their life providing not only educations but also social milieu. In Thailand, children spend 2/3 of their daytime in school. Teachers gradually become their closest person apart from parents who can observe social interaction and report behavioral problems. Frequently, many diseases are diagnosed base on teachers' reports; one of them is attention

Attention deficit, hyperactivity disorder, ADHD is one of the most common behavioral & developmental problems emerging during school age with prevalence of 6.5% of primary school students in

Correspondence to:

Pongchanok M, Department of Pediatrics, Phramongkutklao Hospital, Bangkok 10400, Thailand.

Phone: 0-2354-7600 ext. 94162, Fax: 0-2354-7827

deficit, hyperactivity disorder, ADHD⁽¹⁾.

E-mail: pongchanok@gmail.com

Thailand⁽²⁾. Due to difficulty in observing young children, ADHD is frequently diagnosed when the child attends school and exhibits compatible diagnostic symptoms such as inattention or compulsivity. To make a diagnosis of ADHD, it also requires the symptoms to be apparent in at least two different situations or places. Accordingly, teachers' observation of the child's behavior is crucial in diagnosing ADHD⁽³⁾.

Teachers' role in ADHD is not only in observation and referral of the suspected ADHD case, but also in collaborative management of the child's behavior in school e.g. preparing classroom environment to help the child focus on learning and guiding and helping the child complete his class work. Regarding these roles, teachers' knowledge of the disease is considered important in making holistic management possible for a child with ADHD⁽⁴⁾.

Though current recommendations of

American Academy of Pediatrics emphasizes the importance of teachers' role in managing a child with ADHD⁽³⁾, studies in many countries irrespective of developmental status have demonstrated inadequacy of teachers' knowledge regarding the disease⁽⁵⁻¹¹⁾. These findings lead to strategic interventions finally improving knowledge of teachers on ADHD and successful introduction of a holistic management including home, hospital and school to the affected child.

To date, no studies of teachers' knowledge concerning ADHD, including all aspects of the disease e.g. pathogenesis, signs and symptoms, diagnosis and treatment, has ever been operated in Thailand. Accordingly, the present study was aimed primarily to provide a systematic assessment of knowledge regarding ADHD of Thai primary school teachers as well as identify associated factors that will help them develop comprehensive knowledge on the disease.

Material and Method

This cross-sectional study has been reviewed and approved by the Institutional Review Board of The Royal Thai Army Medical Department (Project No. R1129/55_Exp) to be undertaken in three large primary schools in Phra Nakorn Sri Ayutthaya province, Thailand. The selected schools had met the following criteria: situated in an urban area and had a student to teacher ratio of at least 25 to 1, making them comparable to each other. Study participants were teachers currently teaching in those schools. The calculated number of participants, including 10% dropout rate, was 196.

Information was collected during April and May 2013 using standardized questionnaires comprised of three sets of questions concerning demographic data, ADHD exposure and sources of knowledge regarding the disease and Thai version of the Knowledge of Attention Deficit Disorder Scale, KADDS (copyright granted by Scuitto MJ). The Knowledge of Attention Deficit Disorder Scale, KADDS was structurally designed to assess knowledge of ADHD in three aspects: general information subscale, signs/symptoms and diagnosis subscale, and treatment subscale. This Thai version of KADDS has been translated, tested, and revised before application in the present study. Passing score of this scale was 50% on each subscales as well as the total scale.

Statistical analysis

Data from the questionnaires were registered and analyzed anonymously using the STATA/MP 12.

Demographic data were presented as frequency, percent, mean and standard deviation, when applicable.

Identification of association between demographic data and ADHD exposures and sources of knowledge regarding the disease with score on the Knowledge of Attention Deficit Disorder Scale, KADDS was performed by univariate and multivariate logistic regression analyses. A *p*-value <0.05 was considered statistically significant.

Results

Study participants

Of 212 teachers currently working in three selected schools, 201 agreed to participate and were recruited into the present study. Eleven non-participants were teachers on sick leaves, vacation, or on duty outside school.

Among the 201 participants, 85.6% was female (Table 1). Mean age and median teaching experience in year were 45.01 ± 12.36 and 25 (1-37), respectively. The majority (76.6%) gained bachelor's degrees as their highest education. The most frequent subject currently teaching was Thai, followed by mathematics and science (37.3%, 15.4% and 10.4%, respectively). Almost all of the participants were class instructor or subject instructor or both and were teaching grades 1 to grade 9.

ADHD exposure and sources of knowledge regarding ADHD

The majority of participants (83.6%) have never been taught about or trained on ADHD before graduating (Table 2). 59.7% of participant had taught or been teaching student(s) with physician-diagnosed ADHD. The three most frequent sources of knowledge regarding ADHD were television programs, textbooks, and leaflets. 46.8% were familiar with ADHD patients apart from their pupils.

The knowledge of attention deficit disorder scale (KADDS)

This Thai version of the knowledge of attention deficit disorder scale (KADDS) demonstrated high reliability with Cronbach's alpha of 0.91 comparing with its original study and recent study of 0.81 both (6,8).

Concerning the passing score of 50% on each subscales and the total scale, most of the participants passed and scored higher on signs/symptoms and diagnosis subscale with 62.7% passers comparing to general information and treatment subscales (12.9% and 12.4% passers, respectively). Only 1/5 of

Table 1. Demographic characteristics of study participants

Characteristics (total $n = 201$)	
Age (years), (mean \pm SD (range))	45.01±12.36 (21-62)
Years of teaching experiences, median (range)	20.13 (1-38)
Gender	n (%)
Male	29 (14.4)
Female	172 (85.6)
Highest degree	
Bachelor	154 (76.6)
Master	47 (23.4)
Most frequent teaching subject	
Thai	75 (37.3)
Mathematics	31 (15.4)
Social science	22 (10.9)
Science	21 (10.4)
Health and physical education	11 (5.5)
Art	7 (3.5)
Computer and technology	16 (8.0)
Foreign languages	18 (9.0)
Attended class	
Grade 1-3	80 (39.8)
Grade 4-6	81 (40.3)
Both grade 1-3 and 4-6	8 (4.0)
Junior high school	32 (15.9)
Position	
School administrator	3 (1.5)
Class instructor	56 (31.3)
Subject instructor	63 (27.9)
Both class and subject instructor	79 (39.3)

responders passed the total scale of KADDS (19.4%). Using univariate and multivariate logistic regression analyses, teachers who were no more than 30 years old were more likely to pass the total scale of KADDS (adjusted OR = 3.717, p = 0.041) as well as its general information and signs/symptoms and diagnosis subscales (adjusted OR = 8.454, p = 0.042 and adjusted OR = 2.972, p = 0.004, respectively) (Table 3).

Also, familiarity of ADHD patients apart from pupils was associated with higher chances to pass the general information subscale and the total scale with adjusted odds ratio of 4.474, p = 0.002 and 3.218, p = 0.003, respectively. Participants gaining their knowledge about ADHD from leaflets also had a higher chance to pass the signs/symptoms and diagnosis subscales (adjusted OR = 2.167, p = 0.036) and those gaining from researches and journals were more likely to pass the general information subscales (adjusted OR = 2.531, p = 0.039).

No association between any demographic data and ADHD exposure and sources of knowledge regarding the disease and the scores on the treatment

subscales of the Knowledge of Attention Deficit Disorder Scale, KADDS, was demonstrable.

Discussion

Attention Deficit, Hyperactivity Disorder, ADHD is one of the most common developmental and behavioral problems emerging during childhood worldwide and as well as in Thailand. It requires an effective collaboration of family, school, and health care providers to optimize the disease outcome⁽¹²⁾. Teachers play a major role both before and after the child was diagnosed with ADHD. During pre-diagnostic period, teachers are the closest and familiar person, apart from family members, who can report crucial information about a child's in-class behavior and refer him to be evaluated and diagnosed. Following the diagnosis, teachers are expected to help in managing ADHD pupils by adjusting classroom environment and delivering cognitive-behavioral therapy to promote self-control⁽¹³⁾. Unfortunately, Thai teachers' knowledge regarding ADHD has never been before assessed.

Table 2. Experiences Related to Attention Deficit Hyperactivity Disorder (ADHD) (n = 201)

Experiences (total $n = 201$)	n (%)
Learning about ADHD during teacher training	
Never	168 (83.6)
Briefly as part of selected subject	28 (13.9)
Subject focus mainly on the disease	5 (2.0)
Number of previously or currently taught physician-diagnosed	
ADHD student	
0	81 (40.3)
1-3	90 (44.8)
4-6	16 (8.0)
More than 6	14 (7.0)
Sources of knowledge on ADHD	
Conferences	
Never	179 (89.1)
At least once	22 (10.9)
Books	
Never	90 (44.8)
At least once	101 (55.2)
Published researches or journals	
Never	127 (63.2)
At least once	74 (36.8)
Leaflets	
Never	94 (48.8)
At least once	103 (51.2)
Television programs	
Never	79 (39.3)
At least once	122 (60.4)
Internet	
Never	142 (70.6)
At least once	59 (29.4)
Familiarity with ADHD patients outside school	
No	107 (53.2)
Yes	94 (46.8)

The present study provided the first systematic evaluation of knowledge of Thai teachers regarding ADHD by highly reliable and widely acknowledged measurement-the knowledge of attention deficit disorder scale (KADDS)(8,9,14,15). Though the result indicated that Thai teachers were still lacked accurate knowledge of ADHD, they were better in the field of signs/symptoms and diagnosis of the disease than in general information and treatment. This result was consistent with its pioneer in 2000⁽⁵⁾, in South Texas in 2009⁽¹⁵⁾, in Romania in 2010⁽¹⁶⁾, and in Ohio in 2011⁽¹⁷⁾ reporting similar outcome with better knowledge in signs/symptoms and diagnosis subscale of the KADDS. Considering total scale, many studies with KADDS in other parts of the world such as in South Africa⁽⁹⁾ and Puerto Rico⁽¹⁴⁾ and in Asia such as in Iran⁽⁸⁾, Sri Lanka⁽¹⁸⁾, and South Korea⁽¹⁹⁾ demonstrated

inadequacy of teachers' knowledge on ADHD as well. Moreover, even with different measurements, teachers' lack of knowledge was still apparent. For example, studies in Israel in 2000⁽²⁰⁾ and in Australia in 2004⁽⁷⁾ also reported teachers' misconception and lack of knowledge concerning ADHD. The highest score of 77% mean knowledge was only demonstrated in the study in 1994 in Canada⁽⁵⁾. This might be because of there were only two answers, yes or no, provided in that scale, therefore, correct responses could arise from guessing. The KADDS had its advantage here because each item had three types of answer, yes, no and don't know, which helped discriminate lack of knowledge from misconception.

Concerning associated factors promoting higher knowledge of ADHD from the present study, younger teachers seemed to be more knowledgeable

 Table 3. Factors associated with passing score on the general information, signs/symptoms & diagnosis, and treatment subscales and total scale of the knowledge of attention deficit hyperactivity disorder scale (KADDS)

0.973-56.383 0.973-56.383 0.788-4.621 0.810-5.542 0.783-4.588 1.103-5.890 0.940-5.509 0.940-5.509 0.879-6.008 1.741-11.866 1.369-5.596 0.842-4.177 0.978-3.284 0.915-8.479 1.323-4.429 1.501-5.122 1.544-5.245 0.977-4.003 0.760-5.809 0.884-6.157 0.758-5.242 0.928-7.338 0.937-5.327 1.027-12.614 0.872-5.554 0.942-4.196 0.664-3.545		0	
of ADHD refegree) referred refegree) refegree) refegree) refegree) refegree) referred refegree) refegree) refegree) refegree) refegree) referred referred refegree) referred ref	,	95% CI	p-value
refegree) refegree) more than 10 years) refegree) more than 10 years) refegree) more than 10 years) refegree) 1.895 0.788-4.621 0.126 whedge 1.895 0.783-4.588 0.157 1.03-5.890 0.003 1.099 0.783-4.589 0.0068 more than 10 years) whedge 1.792 1.741-11.866 0.005 1.741-11.866 0.005 1.741-11.866 0.006 1.741-11.866 0.007 1.742 1.792 0.713-4.29 0.004 2.773 1.792 0.713-4.29 0.004 2.773 1.501-5.125 0.001 2.864 1.544-5.245 0.058 1.978 1.978 0.977-4.03 0.162 knowledge 2.609 0.928-7.338 0.069 1.994 0.758-5.242 0.070 S sold) * sold) * sold) * sold) * sold) * les & journals 1.750 0.664-3.545 0.070 1.988 whowledge 2.201 0.877-5.554 0.095 1.988 1.750 0.750-5.554 0.095 1.988 1.750 0.664-3.545 0.120 0.120			
re degree) cr degree) 1.909 0.788-4.621 0.152 wheelde 1.895 0.783-4.588 0.157 es & journals* 2.548 1.103-5.890 0.029 0.029 0.038 2.276 0.940-5.599 0.068 0.000 1.997 0.940-5.599 0.008 0.000 1.875 0.1741-11.866 0.000 0.002 0.003 0.003 0.004 0.003 0.004 0.003 0.004 0.003 0.004 0.004 0.001 0.004 0.001 0.009 0.004 0.001 0.009 0.001 0.009 0.001 0.001 0.001 0.001 0.001 0.001 0.001 0.001 0.001 0.003	8.454	1.078-66.265	0.042
(more than 10 years) 2.188 0.810-5.542 0.126 weledge 1.895 0.783-4.588 0.157 nes & journals* 2.548 1.103-5.890 0.029 ms 2.298 0.879-6.008 0.006 np patients outside school* 4.550 1.741-11.866 0.002 rs old) * 1.875 0.879-6.008 0.005 rs old) * 1.778 0.978-3.284 0.059 weldge 1.772 0.978-3.284 0.059 weldge 2.785 0.915-8.479 0.014 ns** 1.792 0.915-8.479 0.014 ns graduation 2.785 0.915-8.479 0.014 ns graduation 2.785 0.915-8.479 0.015 nce (more than 10 years) 1.978 0.971-4.003 0.153 knowledge 2.609 0.928-7.338 0.069 ID patients outside school* 2.234 0.937-5.327 0.070 S 3.677 1.027-12.614 0.095 Is sold) * 1.988 <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>			
res & journals** 1.895 1.895 0.783-4.588 0.157 1.03-5.890 0.0029 ms 2.276 0.940-5.509 0.068 ms 1.0940-5.509 0.0068 1.0940-5.509 0.0068 1.0940-5.509 0.0068 1.0915-8-6.008 1.0915-8-177 0.0012 1.0029 1.0029 1.0029 0.0029 0.0029 1.0029 1.0029 0.0029 1.0039 1.0030 1.0			
res & journals** 1.895 1.895 1.895 1.895 1.895 1.103-5.890 1.0029 1.276 1.9040-5.509 1.0068 1.9090			
res & journals** 2.548 1.103-5.890 0.008 ms 4.550 1.741-11.866 0.090 1.741-11.866 0.002 1.741-11.866 0.002 1.741-11.866 0.002 1.741-11.866 0.002 1.741-11.866 0.002 1.741-11.866 0.002 1.741-11.866 0.002 1.748 1.741-11.866 0.002 1.748 1.741-11.866 0.002 1.748 1.741-11.866 0.002 1.748 1.741-11.866 0.002 1.748 1.741-11.866 0.002 1.748 1.741-11.866 0.002 1.748 0.042-4.177 0.012 1.741-11.866 0.002 0.042-4.177 0.059 0.014 0.059 0.0158 0.0158 0.0158 0.0158 0.0169 0.028-7.338 0.069 0.028-7.338 0.069 0.028-7.338 0.069 0.028-7.338 0.069 0.038 1.750 0.071 0.088 0.042-4.196 0.071 0.088 0.087 0.095 0.001 0.087-5.554 0.005 0.071 0.088 0.092-4.196 0.071 0.089 0.087 0.088 0.092-4.196 0.071 0.089			
2.276 0.940-5.509 0.068 ms 2.298 0.879-6.008 0.090 riagnosis of ADHD 2.768 1.369-5.596 0.002 rs old) ** Image and attion ce (more than 10 years) re did be the first outside school ** In patients outside school ** I			
ms big			
HD patients outside school* 4.550 1.741-11.866 0.002 inggnosis of ADHD 2.768 1.369-5.596 0.005 1.875 0.842-4.177 0.124 (more than 10 years) * 1.792 0.978-3.284 0.059 0.045 2.421 1.323-4.429 0.004 2.421 1.323-4.429 0.001 1.978 0.977-4.003 0.058 0.001 0.	2.531	1.049-6.103	0.039
ingnosis of ADHD 2.768 1.369-5.596 0.005 1.875 0.842-4.177 0.124 0.059 wledge 2.785 0.915-8.479 0.0142 2.773 1.323-4.429 0.001 2.864 1.544-5.245 0.001 2.101 0.760-5.809 0.153 ce (more than 10 years) 1.978 0.760-5.809 0.153 2.101 0.760-5.809 0.153 0.069 HD patients outside school* 2.234 0.937-5.327 0.005 Is old) * Is old)	4.474	1.677-11.934	0.002
rs old) * 1.369-5.596 0.005 1.875 0.842-4.177 0.124 (more than 10 years) * 1.792 0.978-3.284 0.059 owledge 2.785 0.915-8.479 0.0142 2.421 1.323-4.429 0.004 2.773 1.501-5.122 0.001 ns* 2.101 0.760-5.809 0.153 re graduation 2.333 0.884-6.157 0.058 HD patients outside school * 1.994 0.937-5.327 0.069 HD patients outside school * 1.994 0.937-5.327 0.070 Shawwedge 2.201 0.872-5.554 0.095 1.988 0.042-4.196 0.071 0.076 0.077			
(more than 10 years) * 1.875 0.842-4.177 0.124 owledge 2.785 0.915-8.479 0.059 owledge 2.773 1.323-4.429 0.004 2.421 1.323-4.429 0.004 2.773 1.501-5.122 0.001 ns* 1.978 0.977-4.003 0.058 nce (more than 10 years) 2.101 0.760-5.809 0.153 knowledge 2.609 0.928-7.338 0.069 HD patients outside school* 2.234 0.937-5.327 0.070 S 3.677 1.027-12.614 0.038 knowledge 2.201 0.872-5.554 0.095 1.988 0.942-4.196 0.071 es & journals 1.750 0.664-3.545 0.120	2.972	1.414-6.249	0.004
(more than 10 years) ** 1.792 0.978-3.284 0.059 wyledge 2.785 0.915-8.479 0.142 2.421 1.323-4.429 0.004 2.773 1.501-5.122 0.001 ns** 2.864 1.544-5.245 0.001 1.978 0.977-4.003 0.058 1.978 0.977-4.003 0.058 1.994 0.760-5.809 0.153 knowledge 2.609 0.928-7.338 0.069 S 3.677 1.027-12.614 0.038 knowledge 2.234 0.937-5.327 0.070 S 3.677 1.027-12.614 0.095 1.988 0.942-4.196 0.071 1.988 0.942-4.196 0.071 1.750 0.664-3.545 0.120			
wledge 2.785 0.915-8.479 0.142 2.421 1.323-4.429 0.004 2.773 1.501-5.122 0.001 2.864 1.544-5.245 0.001 1.978 0.977-4.003 0.058 2.101 0.760-5.809 0.153 ce (more than 10 years) 2.333 0.884-6.157 0.087 knowledge 2.609 0.928-7.338 0.069 S 3.677 1.027-12.614 0.038 knowledge 2.234 0.937-5.327 0.070 s 3.677 1.027-12.614 0.095 1.988 0.942-4.196 0.071 es & journals 1.750 0.664-3.545 0.120			
2.785 0.915-8.479 0.142 2.421 1.323-4.429 0.004 2.773 1.501-5.122 0.001 2.864 1.544-5.245 0.001 1.978 0.977-4.003 0.058 1.978 0.977-4.003 0.058 1.978 0.977-4.003 0.058 2.101 0.760-5.809 0.153 2.102 0.760-5.809 0.153 2.333 0.884-6.157 0.087 2.609 0.928-7.338 0.069 3.677 1.027-12.614 0.038 2.201 0.872-5.554 0.095 1.988 0.942-4.196 0.071 2.80 0.915-8.75 0.007 2.80 0.928-7.338 0.069 2.80 0.928-7.338 0.069 2.80 0.928-7.338 0.0095 2.80 0.942-4.196 0.071			
2.421 1.323-4.429 0.004 2.773 1.501-5.122 0.001 2.864 1.544-5.245 0.001 1.978 0.977-4.003 0.058 2.101 0.760-5.809 0.153 2.101 0.760-5.809 0.153 knowledge 2.609 0.928-7.338 0.069 To patients outside school* 2.234 0.937-5.327 0.070 Showledge 2.201 0.872-5.554 0.038 Rowledge 2.201 0.872-5.554 0.095 1.988 0.942-4.196 0.071 2.421 0.004 2.421 0.004 2.609 0.928-7.338 0.069 2.201 0.872-5.554 0.095 1.988 0.942-4.196 0.071			
ms* 2.773 1.501-5.122 0.001 2.864 1.544-5.245 0.001 1.978 0.977-4.003 0.058 2.101 0.760-5.809 0.153 ce (more than 10 years) 1.994 0.758-5.242 0.162 knowledge 2.609 0.928-7.338 0.069 The patients outside school* 2.234 0.937-5.327 0.070 S knowledge 2.201 0.872-5.554 0.095 1.988 0.942-4.196 0.071 2.701 0.872-5.554 0.095 1.988 0.942-4.196 0.071 2.701 0.872-5.554 0.095 2.201 0.872-5.554 0.095 2.201 0.872-5.554 0.095 2.201 0.872-5.554 0.095			
2.864 1.544-5.245 0.001 1.978 0.977-4.003 0.058 2.101 0.760-5.809 0.153 2.333 0.884-6.157 0.087 toe (more than 10 years) 1.994 0.758-5.242 0.162 knowledge 2.609 0.928-7.338 0.069 The patients outside school* 2.234 0.937-5.327 0.070 Saloh * knowledge 2.201 0.872-5.554 0.095 1.988 0.942-4.196 0.071 1.978 0.042-4.196 0.071 1.978 0.64-3.545 0.120	2.167	1.053-4.460	0.036
re graduation 2.101 0.760-5.809 0.153 0.884-6.157 0.087 (1.994 0.758-5.242 0.162 (1.994 0.758-5.242 0.162 (1.994 0.758-5.242 0.162 (1.994 0.758-5.242 0.162 (1.994 0.758-5.242 0.162 (1.994 0.758-5.242 0.162 (1.994 0.928-7.338 0.069 0.928-7.338 0.069 (1.997-5.334 0.937-5.327 0.070 (1.998 0.942-4.196 0.071 0.888 (1.988 0.942-4.196 0.071 0.888 (1.750 0.664-3.545 0.120 0.120	1.992	0.975-4.068	0.059
2.101 0.760-5.809 0.153 Degraduation 2.333 0.884-6.157 0.087 Lee (more than 10 years) 1.994 0.758-5.242 0.162 knowledge 2.609 0.928-7.338 0.069 LD patients outside school* 3.677 1.027-12.614 0.038 knowledge 2.201 0.872-5.554 0.095 Les & journals 1.750 0.664-3.545 0.120			
2.101 0.760-5.809 0.153 2.333 0.884-6.157 0.087 1.994 0.758-5.242 0.162 2.609 0.928-7.338 0.069 2.234 0.937-5.327 0.070 3.677 1.027-12.614 0.038 2.201 0.872-5.554 0.095 1.988 0.942-4.196 0.071 1.750 0.664-3.545 0.120			
2.333 0.884-6.157 0.087 1.994 0.758-5.242 0.162 2.609 0.928-7.338 0.069 2.234 0.937-5.327 0.070 3.677 1.027-12.614 0.038 2.201 0.872-5.554 0.095 1.988 0.942-4.196 0.071 1.750 0.664-3.545 0.120			
1.994 0.758-5.242 0.162 2.609 0.928-7.338 0.069 2.234 0.937-5.327 0.070 3.677 1.027-12.614 0.038 2.201 0.872-5.554 0.095 1.988 0.942-4.196 0.071 1.750 0.664-3.545 0.120			
2.609 0.928-7.338 0.069 2.234 0.937-5.327 0.070 3.677 1.027-12.614 0.038 2.201 0.872-5.554 0.095 1.988 0.942-4.196 0.071 1.750 0.664-3.545 0.120			
2.609 0.928-7.338 0.069 2.234 0.937-5.327 0.070 3.677 1.027-12.614 0.038 2.201 0.872-5.554 0.095 1.988 0.942-4.196 0.071 1.750 0.664-3.545 0.120			
2.234 0.937-5.327 0.070 3.677 1.027-12.614 0.038 2.201 0.872-5.554 0.095 1.988 0.942-4.196 0.071 1.750 0.664-3.545 0.120	2.554	0.892-7.315	0.081
old) * 3.677	2.097	0.872-5.045	0.098
ge 2.201 0.872-5.554 0.095 1.988 0.942-4.196 0.071 0.1750 0.664-3.545 0.120			
2.201 0.872-5.554 0.095 1.988 0.942-4.196 0.071 1.750 0.664-3.545 0.120	3.717	1.058-13.065	0.041
2.201 0.872-5.554 0.095 1.988 0.942-4.196 0.071 1.750 0.664-3.545 0.120			
1.988 0.942-4.196 0.071 1.750 0.664-3.545 0.120			
1.750 0.664-3.545	1.954	0.910-4.237	0.090
Leaflets 1.830 0.888-3.777 0.101			
Familiarity with ADHD patients outside school* 3.190 1.509-6.743 0.002 3.218	3.218	1.500-6.905	0.003

* variables entering multivariate analysis

to ADHD in general information and signs/symptoms and diagnosis subscale as well as the total scale. This might be a result of the fact that although the disease was added to the American Psychiatric Association's Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders since 1980⁽²¹⁾, it has only become of public awareness in Thailand a couple of years ago. Accordingly, the younger generation of teachers has become more acquainted with the disease.

In addition, familiarity with ADHD patients apart from their pupils also helped teachers gain higher knowledge on the disease both in general information subscales and the total scale. This finding was reconciled with studies in Australia in 2004⁽⁷⁾ and in Florida in 2005⁽²²⁾ even though they used different scales for measurement. Printed media, namely, published researches/journals and leaflets concerning ADHD might be effective in introducing the disease to Thai teachers.

However, there were many studies reporting different outcomes. According to KADDS' pioneer in 2000⁽⁶⁾ and a study in South Africa in 2012⁽⁸⁾, both revealed that an overall knowledge on ADHD was related to teachers' self-efficacy and to their exposure to ADHD as a childhood disorder. In Sri Lanka⁽¹⁸⁾, knowledge on ADHD was interestingly associated with teachers' training in Child Psychology. On the contrary, some studies were not able to demonstrate relationship between participants' characteristics and ADHD experience to the knowledge scale^(7,15,23).

Conclusion

Primary school teachers in Thailand were still lacking in knowledge and held misconceptions concerning ADHD despite increase public awareness of the disease. Their deficits were mainly in general information of ADHD and its treatment. According to this study, the majority of participants reported that they had never been taught about or trained on ADHD before graduating; this suggested the need for integration of subjects or courses concerning ADHD into teaching school curriculum. Furthermore, the knowledge gap between generations of teachers was apparent, according to associations revealed in this study, necessitating continuing education. In addition, providing an opportunity to enhance experience with ADHD patients outside school setting might help improve teachers' knowledge of the disease. To dispense information regarding ADHD to teachers, printed media such as journals or leaflets might be the most effective.

Future study

To supply more information for tailoring strategic policy to improve teachers' knowledge on ADHD, a larger study including teachers in different settings such as urban versus suburban schools or government-run versus private schools or general education versus special education should be undertaken. Furthermore, to identify the most effective method to improve teachers' knowledge, an interventional study should be pursued. Finally, as ADHD can be diagnosed late to early adolescent (12 years old) according to the newly issued 5th edition of the Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders of the American Psychiatric Association (24), study recruiting teachers in secondary school is recommended.

Acknowledgement

The authors wish to thank school administrators and teachers for their hospitality and participation in this research. In addition, we thank Prof. Dr. Mark J Scuitto for granting the KADDS to be used in this study. An appreciation is for Office of Research Development Phramongkutklao College of Medicine and Phramongkutklao Hospital for its suggestions on statistical analysis. Finally, we thank Department of Pediatrics of Phramongkutklao Hospital for research fund.

Potential conflicts of interest

None.

References

- DuPual GJ, Stoner G. ADHD in the schools. Assessment and intervention strategies. New York: Guilford Press; 2003.
- Benjasuwantep B, Ruangdaraganon N, Visudhiphan P. Prevalence and clinical characteristics of attention deficit hyperactivity disorder among primary school students in Bangkok. J Med Assoc Thai 2002; 85 (Suppl 4): S1232-40.
- 3. American Academy of Pediatrics. Clinical practice guideline: diagnosis and evaluation of the child with attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder. Pediatrics 2000; 105: 1158-70.
- 4. Pornnopadol C. Attention-deficit/hyperactive disorder-ADHD. In: Piyasilp W, Gateman P, editors. Text book of child and adolescent psychiatry. Bangkok: Beyond Enterprise; 2545: 209-19.
- 5. Jerome L, Gordon M, Hustler P. A comparison of

- American and Canadian teachers' knowledge and attitudes towards Attention Deficit Hyperactivity Disorder (ADHD). Can J Psychiatry 1994; 39: 563-7.
- Sciutto MJ, Terjesen MD, Bender Frank AS. Teachers' knowledge and misperceptions of Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity disorder. Psychol Schools 2000; 37: 115-22.
- 7. Kos JM, Richdale AL, Jackson MS. Knowledge about Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder: A Comparison of in-service and pre-service teachers. Psychol Schools 2004; 41: 517-26.
- 8. Perold M, Louw C, Kleynhans S. Primary school teachers' knowledge and misperceptions of attention deficit hyperactivity disorder (ADHD). S Afr J Educ 2010; 30: 457-73.
- Ghanizadeh A, Bahredar MJ, Moeini SR. Knowledge and attitudes towards attention deficit hyperactivity disorder among elementary school teachers. Patient Educ Couns 2006; 63: 84-8.
- Small S. Attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder: general education elementary school teachers' knowledge, training, and ratings of acceptability of interventions [dissertation]. Florida: University of South Florida; 2003.
- Legato LJ. Effects of teacher factors on expectations of students with ADHD [dissertation]. Illinois: DePaul University; 2011.
- Barkley RA, Murphy KR, Fisher M. ADHD in adults: What the science says. New York: Guilford Press; 2008.
- Wolraich M, Brown L, Brown RT, DuPaul G, Earls M, Feldman HM, et al. ADHD: clinical practice guideline for the diagnosis, evaluation, and treatment of attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder in children and adolescents. Pediatrics 2011; 128: 1007-22.
- 14. Gonzalez TG, Gonzalez M, Ramirez B, Rivera M. Attention deficit hyperactivity disorder in five schools of the San Juan metropolitan area: assessment of teacher's knowledge. Bol Asoc Med PR 2009; 101: 31-5.
- Guerra FR and Brown MS. Teacher knowledge of attention deficit hyperactivity disorder among middle school students in South Texas. Research

- in Middle Level Education Online 2012; 36: 1-7.
- 16. Toma M. Students with ADHD and their teachers. An Investigation of the relationship between teachers' characteristics, teachers' knowledge and teachers' attitudes in primary school. ICERI2010 Proceedings 3rd International Conference of Education, Research and Innovation Madrid, Spain; 15-17 November, 2010: 202-211
- 17. Funk JD. Assessing Ohio's teacher knowledge of attention deficit hyperactivity disorder (ADHD): Are current teachers adequately prepared to meet the needs of students with ADHD? [dissertation]. Ohio: Ohio University; 2011.
- Rodrigo MD, Perera D, Eranga VP, Williams SS, Kuruppuarachchi KA. The knowledge and attitude of primary school teachers in Sri Lanka towards childhood attention deficit hyperactivity disorder. Ceylon Med J 2011; 56: 51-4.
- Kang KR, Kim YH, Yang YO. Teaching status and knowledge of elementary school teachers of children with attention deficit hyperactivity disorder. J Korean Acad Child Health Nurs 2011; 17: 136-44.
- 20. Brook U, Watemberg N, Geva D. Attitude and knowledge of attention deficit hyperactivity disorder and learning disability among high school teachers. Patient Educ Couns 2000; 40: 247-52.
- American Psychiatric Association. Diagnostic and statistical manual of mental disorders. 4th ed. Washington DC: American Psychiatric Association; 2000.
- Blume-D'Ausilio C. Souces of information and selected variables and their relationship to teachers' knowledge and attitudes regarding attention deficit hyperactivity disorder (ADHD) [dissertation]. Florida: Florida Atlantic University; 2005.
- Koller O, Lewalter D, Spangler G, Walper S. German teachers' knowledge and misconceptions about attention deficit hyperactivity disorder (ADHD). Psychol Erz Unterr 2013; 2: 143-53.
- American Psychiatric Association. Diagnostic and statistical manual of mental disorders. 5th ed. Washington DC: American Psychiatric Association; 2013.

ความรู้ความเข้าใจและปัจจัยที่เกี่ยวข้องต[่]อความรู้ความเข้าใจเกี่ยวกับโรคคนสมาธิสั้นของครูในโรงเรียนประถมศึกษา 3 โรงเรียน ในจังหวัดพระนครศรีอยุธยา

พงษ์ชนก เหมือนประสาท, ชาญชัย ไตรวารี, วิรงรอง อรัญนารถ, ชาคริยา ธีรเนตร

ภูมิหลัง: โรคชนสมาธิสั้น (attention deficit/hyperactivity disorder (ADHD)) เป็นโรคทางพัฒนาการและพฤติกรรมเด็กที่พบบอยที่สุดแต่ในปัจจุบัน ยังไม่มีการศึกษาความรู้ความเข้าใจเกี่ยวกับโรคนี้ในครูไทย

วัตถุประสงค์: รายงานนี้ศึกษาความรู้ความเข้าใจของครูไทยที่สอนในระดับประถมศึกษาต่อโรคชนสมาธิสั้น และปัจจัยที่เกี่ยวข้องกับการเกิดความรู้ดังกล่าว วัสดุและวิธีการ: งานวิจัยนี้เป็นการศึกษาแบบ cross-sectional โดยให้ครูในโรงเรียน 3 แห่งที่ทำการศึกษา ตอบแบบสอบถามมาตรฐานที่ประกอบด้วย ข้อกำถาม 3 ชุดได้แก่ ข้อมูลพื้นฐานของกลุ่มตัวอยาง ข้อมูลประสบการณ์ และแหล่งที่มาของความรู้เกี่ยวกับโรคชนสมาธิสั้น และแบบประเมินความรู้ ความเข้าใจเกี่ยวกับโรคชนสมาธิสั้น ฉบับภาษาไทย ซึ่งทดสอบความรู้ที่เกี่ยวข้องกับโรคชนสมาธิสั้น 3 ด้านคือ ข้อมูลทั่วไป อาการและการวินิจฉัย และการรักษา การรายงานผลใช้สถิติ ความถี่ ร้อยละ ค่าเฉลี่ย ส่วนเบี่ยงเบนมาตราฐานและใช้สถิติ univariate และ multivariate logistic regression analysis ในการหาความสัมพันธ์ระหวางข้อมูลทั่วไปและข้อมูลประสบการณ์ กับคะแนนจากแบบประเมินความรู้ความเข้าใจเกี่ยวกับโรคชนสมาธิสั้น ผลการศึกษา: ผู้ร่วมวิจัยในครั้งนี้ยังขาดความรู้ที่เกี่ยวข้องกับโรคชนสมาธิสั้น โดยมีผู้ผ่านแบบประเมินทั้งฉบับร้อยละ 19.4 กลุ่มตัวอยางทำคะแนน ในส่วนอาการและการวินิจฉัย (ผ่านร้อยละ 62.7) ใดสู่งกว่าส่วนอื่น พบวาครูซึ่งอายุไม่เกิน 30 ปีมีความสัมพันธ์กับการผ่านแบบประเมินส่วนความรู้ทั่วไป อาการและการวินิจฉัยและแบบประเมินทั้งฉบับ และการไดคุ้นเคยกับผู้ป่วยโรคชนสมาธิสั้นนอกโรงเรียนสัมพันธ์กับการผ่านแบบประเมินส่วนความรู้ทั่วไป และละแนนรวมทั้งฉบับ

สรุป: การศึกษานี้เป็นการศึกษาความรู้ความเข้าใจต่อโรคซนสมาธิสั้นของครูระดับประถมศึกษาเป็นครั้งแรกในประเทศไทย และพบวาครูยังขาดความรู้ ความเข้าใจต่อโรคซนสมาธิสั้นซึ่งสอดคล้องกับการศึกษาในหลายประเทศ