# **Estimated Cost of Overactive Bladder in Thailand**

Kriangsak Prasopsanti MD\*, Apirak Santi-Ngamkun MD\*, Kanokwan Pornprasit MD\*\*

\* Division of Urology, Department of Surgery, King Chulalongkorn Memorial Hospital, Bangkok \*\* Medical Department, Pfizer (Thailand) Limited

*Objective:* To estimate the annual direct and indirect costs of overactive bladder (OAB) in indigenous Thai people aged 18 years and over in the year 2005.

Material and Method: Economically based models using diagnostic and treatment algorithms from clinical practice guidelines and current disease prevalence data were used to estimate direct and indirect costs of OAB. Prevalence and event probability estimates were obtained from the literature, national data sets, and expert opinion. Costs were estimated from a small survey using a cost questionnaire and from unit costs of King Chulalongkorn Memorial Hospital.

**Results:** The annual cost of OAB in Thailand is estimated as 1.9 billion USD. It is estimated to consume 1.14% of national GDP. The cost includes 0.33 billion USD for direct medical costs, 1.3 billion USD for direct, nonmedical costs and 0.29 billion USD for indirect costs of lost productivity. The largest costs category was direct treatment costs of comorbidities associated with OAB. Costs of OAB medication accounted for 14% of the total costs of OAB.

Keywords: Overactive bladder, Annual cost, Direct cost, Indirect cost

J Med Assoc Thai 2007; 90 (11): 2316-20 Full text. e-Journal: http://www.medassocthai.org/journal

Overactive bladder (OAB) has been defined by the International Continence Society as a spectrum of symptoms in which incontinence may or may not overlap with urgency, frequency, or nocturia<sup>(1)</sup>. Slightly more women than men have this disorder. Children and especially the elderly also have it<sup>(2)</sup>. OAB is not a disease endemic to a particular culture. It is estimated to affect 17-55% of population<sup>(2-6)</sup>, making it one of the most prevalent chronic diseases. Additionally, OAB is often medically unrecognized, with only 20-50% of sufferers seeking medical attention<sup>(4)</sup>. OAB can lead to burdensome comorbidities. There is evidence to suggest that OAB is associated with an increased risk of falls and fractures, urinary tract infections, skin infections, sleep disturbances and depression<sup>(7)</sup>. Its consequences are detrimental to the physical and mental health of those who are affected. Even when symptoms are mild, they affect social, sexual, interpersonal, and professional functions<sup>(8)</sup>. OAB can also increase

the burden on the caregivers of elderly or disabled individuals with OAB. Despite their impaired quality of life, the majority of patients do not seek treatment for their condition. Most Asians tend to accept their disease as part of life and try to live with it.

In the United States, the estimated total economic cost of OAB was \$12.02 billion in 2000, with \$9.17 and \$2.85 billion incurred in the community and in institutions respectively<sup>(9)</sup>. However, these numbers may not represent the true economic and social burdens of OAB because this disorder is underreported and often overlooked by physicians<sup>(10-12)</sup>. Cost analyses of OAB are relatively limited in Thailand as well as in other developing countries, where approximately 66% of the world's population lives without adequate access to health care<sup>(13)</sup> and where the economic burden of OAB cannot even begin to be assessed. It is certain that the clinical impact of this disorder will be tremendous as the world's population ages. By 2020, 44% of the world population (7.5 billion) will be > 65years of age(14). In Thailand, it is expected that the proportion of the old-age group (more than 60 years old)

Correspondence to : Pornprasit K, Medical Department, Pfizer (Thailand) Limited, Fl 37 United Center Tower, Silom Rd, Bangkok 10500, Thailand.

will increase to 23.5% by 2020<sup>(13)</sup>. Therefore, the considerable financial burden of OAB on the health care system will also increase. Now is the time to convince healthcare providers, health authorities, and funding agencies that the problem of this disorder is real and will become increasingly critical in the future.

### **Material and Method**

The following study framework and perspective of the analysis was societal perspective with a time horizon of one year and study of the direct and indirect costs. Intangible costs were excluded because they are subjective and difficult to assign monetary values.

The direct costs associated with OAB are shown in Table 1.

Indirect costs refer to lost productivity resulting from a decrease in job opportunities or in the number of hours worked. Lost productivity also includes the value of an informal caregiver's time, including nursing time.

The epidemiology data were from two 11country Asian studies (in 5502 women and 2369 men aged  $\geq$  18 years). These self-administered questionnairebased studies were conducted in 1998 to quantify the prevalence of OAB population in community-based settings. The prevalence of OAB in females was 34.7% while the rate was lower in males, at 29.9%. Incontinence rate in females (21%) was higher than in males (13%). Treatment seeking behavior was 21% in females and 6% in males. The prevalence of OAB comorbidities before and after treatment was taken from a journal of managed care published in 2000.

The algorithms of OAB patient management, including diagnostic evaluations and treatment strategies, were created from a consensus of an expert panel of four university hospital urologists.

Cost data related to health care expenditure were based on average costs for 2005 at three university hospitals and one general hospital.

Cost data related to personal routine care and transportation expenditures were based on a survey conducted in 150 male and female OAB patients aged 18 years or more attending the Urology Clinic at King Chulalongkorn Memorial Hospital. The questionnaire used here was modified from the Dowell Bryant Incontinence Cost Index (DBICI), developed by C J Dowell et al in 1999<sup>(16)</sup>. This survey was a part of the study.

Cost data of the work-related productivity loss were based on the minimum national wage.

**Table 1.** Direct costs associated with overactive bladder<sup>(15)</sup>

Treatment costs	Medication
	Behavioral therapy
Routine personal care costs	Incontinence day pads,
*	Disposable bed pads,
	Hygiene products,
	Laundry
Consequence costs	Treatment of skin infections
	due to incontinence,
	Treatment of urinary tract
	infections
Other costs	Transportation,
	Parking

#### Data analysis

A statistical analysis was simply descriptive. A one-way sensitivity analysis was performed to identify the most sensitive input parameters for the total cost.

#### Results

Using the prevalence data, the authors came up with the number 14,461,653 as the OAB population in Thailand.

Personal routine care, transportation, and productivity loss data were from the survey conducted at the Urology Clinic at King Chulalongkorn Memorial Hospital. Sixty-one per cent (61%) of patients with incontinence made use of pads, at an average cost per patient per week of 3.7 USD. Transportation cost for one patient per year was 198.12 USD. Average lost time from work associated with OAB for patients and caregivers was 108.12 hours per patient per year. The National Income per Capita in 2003 was 4.64 USD per 8 hours (one shift).

Direct medication costs were from hospital database. The treatment of choice for OAB was medication with 90% drug utilization. Ten per cent of patients received behavioral therapy only. Drug utilization was 40 for oxybutynin, 30 for tolterodine, and 20 for trospium chloride. Thirty-five per cent of patients received one of the anticholinergic drugs in combination with imipramine. Complications included skin irritation from incontinence and urinary tract infection. The authors excluded falls and fractures because it was difficult to track the link between falls/fractures and OAB.

Cost data from hospital database and from a survey are shown in Table 2.

The annual cost of OAB in Thailand was estimated at 1.956 billion USD, which includes 0.33 billion

 Table 2.
 Annual base-case unit cost estimates

Items	Costs/year (USD)	Percentage (%)
Personal routine care		
Cost of absorbent pads	299,289,520	15.3
Laundry	107,565,239	5.5
Transportation	410,335,689	21.0
Medication		
Oxybutynin	74,704,830	3.8
Trospium chloride	51,223,496	2.6
Tolterodine	154,997,215	7.9
Imipramine	1,785,976	0.1
Complications		
UTI	438,438,493	22.4
Skin disease	288,557,731	14.7
Productivity loss	129,950,793	6.6
Total cost of OAB	1,956,848,985	100.0

USD for direct medical costs, 1.3 billion USD for direct, non-medical costs and 0.29 billion USD for indirect costs from lost productivity. Because of higher prevalence and higher percentage of treatment seeking, women had greater cost than men. The largest costs category was direct treatment costs of comorbidities followed by costs of transportation and personal care costs. Costs of OAB medication accounted for 14% of the total costs of OAB. The more patients were treated, the lower the direct treatment costs of OAB comorbidities would be. If all OAB patients were treated, the cost of medication for comorbidities would be reduced by 41%.

A univariate (one-way) sensitivity analysis was undertaken in which one parameter was adjusted at a time. The parameters included prevalence of OAB, proportion of patients seeking treatment, proportion of patients receiving behavioral and pharmacological treatments, cost of personal care, and cost of pharmacological treatment. The sensitivity analysis indicated that total costs were minimally affected by changes in the proportion of patients seeking treatment, the proportion of patients receiving behavioral and pharmacological treatments and the average cost of the personal care and pharmacological treatment. Total costs were most sensitive to changes in prevalence. Reducing prevalence by 10% would reduce the total costs by 20% from 1.95 billion USD to 1.76 billion USD.

#### Discussions

Cost of illness analyses describes the economic impact of a disease. These studies are used to determine health policy or to make decisions about broad treatment guidelines. Cost of illness studies quantify costs incurred by a population over a defined period of time. The present study is a prevalencebased, cost of illness study aimed at estimating the total cost to society from OAB by aggregating data on the average cost of treatments and forming population estimates. The total cost was calculated from national statistics and by collecting detailed costs of patients from a survey and combining them with prevalence estimates.

Cost of illness for OAB has been addressed in several studies. The most recent study was the National Overactive Bladder Evaluation (NOBLE) program in the US<sup>(2)</sup>, which surveyed approximately 5000 adults. The estimated costs of OAB for community residents in the US in 2000 were around 9.14 billion USD. The estimated nursing home care costs (largely routine care costs such as pads, laundry, nursing aids, etc.) were about 4.4 billion USD. The total costs of OAB in 2000 were 12.6 billion USD.

Several non-US studies on the overall costs of urinary incontinence have recently been published. In 1998, a study in Australia found 1.83 million community-dwelling women over the age of 18 years had urinary incontinence. The total annual direct cost of this condition is estimated at 378 million USD<sup>(17)</sup>. The current study estimated the cost of OAB in Thailand to be at 1.9 billion USD, or 1.14% of the national GDP.

No cost of illness study for OAB has been done in Asia before, primarily because of limited data on OAB prevalence, cost of care and the impact of OAB on personal life. It is also difficult to estimate productivity loss and intangible costs for OAB accurately. Since the data on the prevalence of OAB in Thailand is not available, the prevalence of OAB in the present study (29.9-34.7%) was based on two 11country Asian studies<sup>(4,5)</sup>. This prevalence was much higher than the US (16-17%)<sup>(2,6)</sup> or Europe (17%)<sup>(18)</sup>. To complicate matters, these studies used methods of data collection and symptom assessment, definitions of OAB, and study populations.

The sensitivity analysis identified prevalence to have the most effect on the estimated annual cost of OAB. As mentioned above, OAB prevalence studies use different definitions. Our prevalence estimates are higher than those used in previous studies of OAB costs. If the authors used the more conservative prevalence data, as did the US and the EU, the authors would have come up with an estimated annual cost of OAB of 0.9 billion USD, which is approximately 0.55%

Table 3. Adjusted annual costs of OAB in some countries

Country	Year	Adjusted cost (billion USD)
USA <sup>(15)</sup> EU <sup>(16)</sup> Sweden <sup>(18)</sup> Australia <sup>(17)</sup> Thailand <sup>1</sup>	2000 2000 2000 1998 2005	<ul> <li>12.6 (direct &amp; indirect cost)</li> <li>4.5 (direct cost only)</li> <li>0.4-0.63 (direct cost only)</li> <li>0.55 (direct cost only)</li> <li>0.9*-1.9 (direct &amp; indirect cost)</li> </ul>

\* Using US prevalence

<sup>1</sup> The present study

of the national GDP. These costs would have been only about one-half of the amount previously calculated using the Asian prevalence.

Personal routine care, including pads, reusable underpants and laundry, is usually the largest cost category, followed by medication cost and cost of comorbidities. In the present study, the largest cost category was cost of comorbidities, followed by personal routine care cost and cost of transportation. The main reason for this difference is the source data used in the analysis, which was the survey done at King Chulalongkorn Memorial Hospital. This is a tertiary care center located in Bangkok and most of its patients live in Bangkok. The patients may not represent patients who live in provinces. It is believed that the majority of sufferers lives in rural areas and cannot afford sanitary pads thus, may let themselves wet or use rags as absorptive. This will undoubtedly result in a lower health related. Quality of life impact is not accounted for in the presented model. Therefore, the actual cost of illness including quality of life and cost of personal routine care would be greater.

After adjustments for inflation, in dollar terms, the cost of OAB in Thailand is much lower than in Western countries due to the lower cost of living (Table 3).

Since the largest cost category is the cost of comorbidities, appropriate diagnosis and treatment of OAB may reduce the cost burden to the society. The cost of medication is relatively small (14% of total cost), possibly reflecting under-treatment.

Cost analyses of OAB in Thailand have many limitations. There are few comprehensive studies and national data sets that provide prevalence estimates are limited. Accurate prevalence estimates are further hindered by the hidden nature of OAB, especially in some areas where people infrequently seek medical treatment. Furthermore, there is no database on personal routine care costs for OAB. For a more complete perspective of the impact of OAB, future research must take into account the considerable importance of the quality of life associated with OAB.

#### References

- Abrams P, Cardozo L, Fall M, Griffiths D, Rosier P, Ulmsten U, et al. The standardisation of terminology of lower urinary tract function: report from the Standardisation Sub-committee of the International Continence Society. Neurourol Urodyn 2002; 21: 167-78.
- Stewart WF, Van Rooyen JB, Cundiff GW, Abrams P, Herzog AR, Corey R, et al. Prevalence and burden of overactive bladder in the United States. World J Urol 2003; 20: 327-36.
- Chen GD, Lin TL, Hu SW, Chen YC, Lin LY. Prevalence and correlation of urinary incontinence and overactive bladder in Taiwanese women. Neurourol Urodyn 2003; 22: 109-17.
- Lapitan MC, Chye PL. The epidemiology of overactive bladder among females in Asia: a questionnaire survey. Int Urogynecol J Pelvic Floor Dysfunct 2001; 12: 226-31.
- Moorthy P, Lapitan MC, Quek PL, Lim PH. Prevalence of overactive bladder in Asian men: an epidemiological survey. BJU Int 2004; 93: 528-31.
- Milsom I, Stewart W, Thuroff J. The prevalence of overactive bladder. Am J Manag Care 2000; 6 (11 Suppl): S565-73.
- Debruyne FM, Heesakkers JP. Clinical and socioeconomic relevance of overactive bladder. Urology 2004; 63: 42-4.
- Kobelt G. Economic considerations and outcome measurement in urge incontinence. Urology 1997; 50(6A Suppl): 100-7.
- Hu TW, Wagner TH, Bentkover JD, Leblanc K, Zhou SZ, Hunt T. Costs of urinary incontinence and overactive bladder in the United States: a comparative study. Urology 2004; 63: 461-5.
- Keam SJ, Perry CM. Management of overactive bladder: defining the role of extended-release tolterodine. Dis Manage Health Outcomes 2004; 12: 120-42.
- 11. Wagner TH, Hu TW. Economic costs of urinary incontinence in 1995. Urology 1998; 51: 355-61.
- McGhan WF. Cost effectiveness and quality of life considerations in the treatment of patients with overactive bladder. Am J Manag Care 2001; 7: S62-S75.
- 13. Kanjanaphoomin N. Pension fund, provident fund and social security system in Thailand. Presented

at International Conference on Pension in Asia: Initiatives, compliance and their role in retirement; Hitotsubashi University, Hitotsubashi Collaboration Center, Tokyo, Japan, 23-24 February 2004

- Jackson R. The global retirement crisis: the threat to world stability and what to do about it. Washington, DC: The Center for Strategic and International Studies; 2002.
- 15. Hu TW, Wagner TH, Bentkover JD, Leblanc K, Piancentini A, Stewart WF, et al. Estimated economic costs of overactive bladder in the United

States. Urology 2003; 61: 1123-8.

- Dowell CJ, Bryant CM, Moore KH, Simons AM. Calculating the direct costs of urinary incontinence: a new test instrument. BJU Int 1999; 83: 596-606.
- 17. Doran CM, Chiarelli P, Cockburn J. Economic costs of urinary incontinence in community-dwelling Australian women. Med J Aust 2001; 174: 456-8.
- Reeves P, Irwin D, Kelleher C, Milsom I, Kopp Z, Calvert N, et al. The current and future burden and cost of overactive bladder in five European countries. Eur Urol 2006; 50: 1050-7.

## การประเมินต<sup>้</sup>นทุนรายปีของภาวะกระเพาะบัสสาวะไวเกินใน ประเทศไทย

### เกรียงศักดิ์ ประสพสันติ, อภิรักษ์ สันติงามกุล, กนกวรรณ พรประสิทธิ์

**วัตถุประสงค์**: เพื่อประเมินต<sup>ุ้</sup>นทุนรายปีทั้งทางตรงและทางอ<sup>้</sup>อมที่ประเทศไทยต<sup>้</sup>องแบกรับ ซึ่งเกิดจากภาวะกระเพาะ ปัสสาวะไวเกินในประชากรไทยอายุตั้งแต<sup>่</sup> 18 ปีขึ้นไป ในปี พ.ศ. 2548

วัสดุและวิธีการ: การวิเคราะห์ในงานวิจัยนี้เป็นการวิเคราะห์โดยใช้แบบจำลองทางเศรษฐศาสตร์ ข้อมูลที่ใช้ใน แบบจำลองนี้ประกอบด้วยข้อมูลทางระบาดวิทยาและการรักษาโรค ข้อมูลด้านต้นทุนและข้อมูลพื้นฐานทางเศรษฐกิจ ของประเทศไทย โดยข้อมูลด้านระบาดวิทยาและการรักษาโรคได้มาจาก ผลงานวิจัยในอดีต จากแนวปฏิบัติทาง เวชคลินิกและบางส่วนจากความเห็นของผู้เชี่ยวชาญ ข้อมูลด้านต้นทุนมาจากฐานข้อมูลของโรงพยาบาลจุฬาลงกรณ์ และจากการสำรวจย่อยในกลุ่มผู้ปวยที่มารับการรักษาที่โรงพยาบาล

**ผลการศึกษา**: ต<sup>้</sup>นทุนรวมรายปีของภาวะกระเพาะปัสสาวะไวเกินในประเทศไทยมีมูลค่าประมาณ 1.9 พันล้านเหรียญ สหรัฐ หรือเท่ากับ ร<sup>้</sup>อยละ 1.14 ของมูลค่าผลิตภัณฑ์มวลรวมภายในประเทศเบื้องต<sup>้</sup>น โดยต<sup>้</sup>นทุนดังกล่าวประกอบด้วย ต<sup>้</sup>นทุนทางตรงที่เกี่ยวกับการรักษา 0.33 พันล้านเหรียญสหรัฐ ต<sup>้</sup>นทุนทางตรงที่ไม่เกี่ยวกับการรักษา (เซ่นค่าเดินทาง) 1.3 พันล้านเหรียญสหรัฐ ต<sup>้</sup>นทุนทางอ<sup>้</sup>อมของการลดกำลังการผลิตจากการขาดงาน 0.29 ล้านเหรียญสหรัฐ ชนิดของต<sup>้</sup>นทุน ที่มีมูลค่าสูงสุดคือ ต<sup>้</sup>นทุนทางตรงของการรักษาโรคแทรกที่เกิดจากภาวะกระเพาะปัสสาวะไวเกิน ต<sup>้</sup>นทุนที่เกิดจากค่ายาคิด เป็นร<sup>้</sup>อยละ 14 ของต<sup>้</sup>นทุนทั้งหมด